

Quantum Markov Semigroups (Product Systems and Subordination)

Paul S. Muhly*

Department of Mathematics

University of Iowa

Iowa City, IA 52242

e-mail: muhly@math.uiowa.edu

Baruch Solel†

Department of Mathematics

Technion

32000 Haifa, Israel

e-mail: mabaruch@techunix.technion.ac.il

Abstract

We show that if a product system comes from a quantum Markov semigroup, then it carries a natural Borel structure with respect to which the semigroup may be realized in terms of a measurable representation. We show, too, that the dual product system of a Borel product system also carries a natural Borel structure. We apply our analysis to study the order interval consisting of all quantum Markov semigroups that are subordinate to a given one.

*Supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation and from the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation.

†Supported in part by the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation and by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion.

1 Introduction

A *quantum Markov semigroup* is a semigroup $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of completely positive, normal linear maps on a von Neumann algebra M such that Θ_0 is the identity mapping on M and such that the map $t \rightarrow \Theta_t(a)$ from $[0, \infty)$ to M is continuous with respect to the ultraweak topology on M for each $a \in M$. If each Θ_t is a unital map, we shall say that the semigroup is *unital*. In [26], we showed that if M is countably decomposable, then it is possible to dilate a unital quantum Markov semigroup to an “endomorphnic” semigroup in the following sense. Represent M faithfully on a separable Hilbert space, say H . Then one may find: another separable Hilbert space K , an isometric embedding u_0 of H into K , a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}$ in $B(K)$ and a semigroup of unital *endomorphisms* of $\mathcal{R}$, $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, such that $u_0 M u_0^*$ is a full corner in $\mathcal{R}$, meaning that the central support of $u_0 u_0^*$ in $\mathcal{R}$ is I ; $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a quantum Markov semigroup; and such that the two (equivalent) equations are satisfied for all $T \in M$, all $S \in \mathcal{R}$, and all $t \geq 0$:

$$\Theta_t(T) = u_0^* \alpha_t(u_0 T u_0^*) u_0$$

and

$$\Theta_t(u_0^* S u_0) = u_0^* \alpha_t(S) u_0.$$

Semigroups of unital endomorphisms of a von Neumann algebra, such as $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, which are also quantum Markov semigroups are known in the literature as E_0 -semigroups and were first defined and investigated by Powers [31] and Arveson [3].

The proof of our dilation theorem proceeded by expressing $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in terms of a representation of a product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of W^* -correspondences over the commutant of M , M' . (Definitions and further details will be given below.) In [26] we attended only to the algebraic structure of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$; that is all that we needed there. In this sequel, our primary objective is to show how to put a Borel structure on $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and to relate the Borel structure to continuity properties of its representations (see Theorems 4.8, 4.10, and 4.12). Our approach to dilating quantum Markov semigroups is closely related to the approach taken by Bhat and Skeide [10]. Indeed, the two approaches are “dual” in a sense made precise in Skeide’s survey [34]. Our analysis shows that the product system that Bhat and Skeide construct is also Borel. (See Theorem 4.5.)

As an application of our analysis, we study quantum Markov semigroups that are “subordinate” to a given one. If $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ are two quantum Markov semigroups on the same von Neumann algebra, then we say that $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is subordinate to $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, if $\Theta_t - \Psi_t$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$. We show that the subordinates of a given semigroup $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ depend only on the product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ associated to the semigroup and not directly on the semigroup itself, provided it comes from a “injective representation” of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in a sense that we define below (see Theorem 5.7). Thus, if $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ both come from injective representations of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then their order intervals of subordinates are order isomorphic. These isomorphism results were proved by Bhat [8, Section 5] and Powers [32, Theorems 3.4 and 3.5] in the case when $M = B(H)$ by entirely different means and made no use of product systems. Another result similar to ours was proved by Bhat and Skeide in [10, Theorem 14.3]. Indeed, from a purely algebraic perspective, they come to the same conclusion, but from a perspective that is dual to ours, in a sense that we shall discuss at several points below. Our contribution is to deal with continuity properties of the Markov semigroups and the Borel structures on the associated product systems and to show in terms of the representation theory for product systems that we developed in [26] that the order interval of subordinates to a given semigroup is an artifact of the product system of which it is a representation and does not require the dilation. More explicitly, we define the notion of a (positive contractive) cocycle for a product system (Definition 5.5) and show in Theorem 5.7 that if $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ comes from an injective representation of a product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then every quantum Markov semigroup that is subordinate to $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is given by a cocycle for $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. In the works of Bhat and Powers just cited, the approach is to pass from $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ to its minimal endomorphic dilation α and to express subordinates of $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in terms of so-called local cocycles for α . As we shall show in Proposition 5.9, if α comes from an isometric representation of a product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then there is a natural bijection between cocycles for $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and local cocycles for α . We want to emphasize, however, that given $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ it is not necessary to pass to its minimal endomorphic dilation α in order to analyze the subordinates and, in fact, our analysis works without the assumption that $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ has such a dilation. In particular, it allows for a direct comparison of the subordinates of two different semigroups (Corollary 5.10).

Acknowledgment

We thank the referee for some very stimulating comments that helped us to improve the first draft of this paper substantially. In particular, some bothersome loose ends have been cleared up and the arguments are a lot clearer.

Conventions and Notation

The purely algebraic aspects of our analysis require no separability hypotheses. However, when we deal with continuity properties of semigroups or Borel structures on product systems, we will need to assume that our von Neumann algebras are countably decomposable, meaning that they can be faithfully represented on a separable Hilbert space, and we will need to assume that representation Hilbert spaces, when they arise, are separable. This will guarantee, among other things that all represented von Neumann algebras have countably decomposable commutants.

If S is a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space H , then we shall denote the closed linear span of S by $[S]$.

2 Completely Positive Maps, W^* -correspondences, and their Representations

We collect in this section facts about W^* -correspondences and their representations that we need in order to study a normal contractive completely positive map on a von Neumann algebra. Most of the material we discuss may be found in [26]. However, we need some refinements of the theory presented there and we want to highlight certain features of it. In a bit more detail, we show how a completely positive (contractive normal) map on a von Neumann algebra gives rise to two W^* -correspondences, the principal one for us being the Arveson-Stinespring correspondence, which is a correspondence over the commutant of the von Neumann algebra. The completely positive map also gives a representation of the correspondence. Conversely, each representation of a correspondence gives rise to a completely positive map. Our primary objective, from the technical point of view, is to analyze when the transformation from a completely positive map to correspondence and representation is reversible.

The theory of W^* -correspondences is based on the theory of Hilbert C^* -modules. We shall follow Lance [21] and Paschke [29] for notation and the parts of that theory that we shall use. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let E be a right module over A endowed with a bi-additive map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow A$ (referred to as an A -valued inner product) such that, for $\xi, \eta \in E$ and $a \in A$, $\langle \xi, \eta a \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle a$, $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle^* = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle$, and $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$, with $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ only when $\xi = 0$. Also, E is assumed to be complete in the norm $\|\xi\| := \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|^{1/2}$. We write $\mathcal{L}(E)$ for the space of adjointable, and therefore continuous A -module maps on E . It is known to be a C^* -algebra. The C^* -module E is said to be *self-dual* in case every adjointable A -module map from E to A is given by an inner product with an element of E . If A is a von Neumann algebra and E is self-dual, then every continuous module map is adjointable and $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is a von Neumann algebra. (See [29, Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.10].) A C^* -correspondence over a C^* -algebra A is a Hilbert C^* -module E over A that is endowed with a structure of a left module over A via a nondegenerate $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$. When dealing with a specific C^* -correspondence, E , over a C^* -algebra A , it will be convenient to suppress the φ in formulas involving the left action and simply write $a\xi$ or $a \cdot \xi$ for $\varphi(a)\xi$. This should cause no confusion in context.

Definition 2.1 *Let M be a von Neumann algebra and let E be a Hilbert C^* -module over M . Then E is called a Hilbert W^* -module over M in case E is self-dual. The module E is called a W^* -correspondence over M in case E is a self-dual C^* -correspondence over M such that the $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ giving the left module structure on E is normal.*

As we mentioned above, in the portions of this paper that deal with measurable product systems we will restrict our attention to countably decomposable von Neumann algebras and normal representations of them on separable Hilbert spaces. No separability assumptions are necessary in this section.

One of the most important examples of W^* -correspondences arises from completely positive normal maps on a von Neumann algebra, as in the following example.

Example 2.2 *Suppose that Θ is a normal, contractive, completely positive map on a von Neumann algebra M . Then we can associate with it the correspondence $M \otimes_{\Theta} M$ obtained by defining on the algebraic tensor product*

$M \otimes M$, the M -valued inner product $\langle a \otimes b, c \otimes d \rangle = b^* \Theta(a^* c) d$ and taking the selfdual completion ([29, Theorem 3.2]). The bimodule structure is defined by left and right multiplications. This correspondence was used by Popa [30], Mingo [23], Anantharaman-Delaroche [1] and others to study the map Θ . Bhat and Skeide refer to $M \otimes_{\Theta} M$ as the GNS-module determined by Θ in [10]; we shall call it the GNS-correspondence determined by Θ . The reason for the terminology is evident when one notes that there is a preferred vector ξ in $M \otimes_{\Theta} M$, which is the image of $1 \otimes 1$. The completely positive map Θ may be expressed in terms of ξ via the formula $\Theta(a) = \langle \xi, a \xi \rangle$, $a \in M$, which is analogous to the GNS representation determined by a state on a C^* -algebra. If Θ is an endomorphism, we can use the map $a \otimes_{\Theta} b \mapsto \Theta(a)b$ to identify this correspondence with the correspondence ${}_{\Theta}M$ which is defined to be the subspace $\Theta(I)M$ of M with right action of M given by multiplication (on the right), left action by $\varphi(a) = \Theta(a)$ and the inner product induced from multiplication on M , $\langle c, d \rangle = c^* d$.

Given two W^* -correspondences E and F over M , then the balanced tensor product carries a natural inner product, which is defined by the formula

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes \zeta_1, \xi_2 \otimes \zeta_2 \rangle := \langle \zeta_1, \varphi_F(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle) \zeta_2 \rangle$$

and its completion in the σ -topology of [6] is a W^* -correspondence over M , where, for $a, b \in M$ and $\xi \in E$ and $\zeta \in F$, $\varphi_{E \otimes F}(a)(\xi \otimes \zeta)b = \varphi_E(a)\xi \otimes \zeta b$.

Definition 2.3 *Let E be a W^* -correspondence over a von Neumann algebra N . Then:*

1. *A completely contractive covariant representation of E on a Hilbert space H is a pair (T, σ) , where*
 - (a) *σ is a normal $*$ -representation of N in $B(H)$.*
 - (b) *T is a linear, completely contractive map from E to $B(H)$ that is a bimodule map in the sense that $T(a\xi b) = \sigma(a)T(\xi)\sigma(b)$, $\xi \in E$, and $a, b \in N$.*
2. *A completely contractive covariant representation (T, σ) of E in $B(H)$ is called isometric in case*

$$T(\xi)^* T(\eta) = \sigma(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) \tag{1}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$.

It should be noted that the operator space structure of E which Definition 2.3 refers to is that which E inherits when viewed as a subspace of its linking algebra. We note, too, that Lemma 2.16 of [26] shows that if (T, σ) is completely contractive covariant representation of E on a Hilbert space H , then T is continuous in the σ -topology of [6] on E and the ultraweak topology on $B(H)$.

Given a W^* -correspondence E over M and a normal representation σ of M on H , we write $E \otimes_\sigma H$ for the Hilbert space obtained as the Hausdorff completion of $E \otimes H$ with respect to the positive semi-definite sesquilinear form defined by the formula $\langle \xi \otimes h, \zeta \otimes k \rangle = \langle h, \sigma(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle)k \rangle$. Note that given $S \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ and $R \in \sigma(M)'$, the operator $S \otimes R$, defined by sending $\xi \otimes h$ to $S\xi \otimes Rh$, is a well defined, bounded operator on $E \otimes_\sigma H$. It is easy to see that one obtains ultraweakly continuous $*$ -representations of $\mathcal{L}(E)$ and $\sigma(M)'$ on $E \otimes_\sigma H$ defined by the formulae $S \rightarrow S \otimes I_H$ and $R \rightarrow I_E \otimes R$. The representation of $\mathcal{L}(E)$, $S \rightarrow S \otimes I_H$, is called the representation of $\mathcal{L}(E)$ *induced* by σ and is sometimes denoted σ^E . Although it is not standard to do so, we shall call the representation of $\sigma(M)'$, $R \rightarrow I_E \otimes R$, the representation of $\sigma(M)'$ that is *produced by E* . We denote the ranges of these representations by $\mathcal{L}(E) \otimes I_H$ and $I_E \otimes \sigma(M)'$, respectively. We then record for the sake of reference the following lemma which is a restatement of [33, Theorem 6.23]. For a slick proof that uses a von Neumann algebra version of Brown, Green and Rieffel's linking algebra of an imprimitivity bimodule [11], we recommend Skeide's note [36, Proposition 2.2].

Lemma 2.4 *The commutant of the induced algebra $\mathcal{L}(E) \otimes I_H$ in $B(E \otimes_\sigma H)$ is the produced algebra $I_E \otimes \sigma(M)'$.*

As we showed in [25, Lemmas 3.4–3.6] and in [28], if a completely contractive covariant representation, (T, σ) , of E in $B(H)$ is given, then it determines a contraction $\tilde{T} : E \otimes_\sigma H \rightarrow H$ defined by the formula $\tilde{T}(\eta \otimes h) := T(\eta)h$, $\eta \otimes h \in E \otimes_\sigma H$. The operator $\tilde{T}$ intertwines the representation σ on H and the induced representation $\sigma^E \circ \varphi := \varphi(\cdot) \otimes I_H$ on $E \otimes_\sigma H$; i.e.

$$\tilde{T}(\varphi(\cdot) \otimes I) = \sigma(\cdot)\tilde{T}. \quad (2)$$

In fact we have the following lemma from [28, Lemma 2.16].

Lemma 2.5 *The map $(T, \sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ is a bijection between all completely contractive covariant representations (T, σ) of E on the Hilbert space H and*

contractive operators $\tilde{T} : E \otimes_{\sigma} H \rightarrow H$ that satisfy equation (2). Given such a $\tilde{T}$ satisfying this equation, T , defined by the formula $T(\xi)h := \tilde{T}(\xi \otimes h)$, together with σ is a completely contractive covariant representation of E on H . Further, (T, σ) is isometric if and only if $\tilde{T}$ is an isometry.

An important concept that we shall use is that of duality for W^* -correspondences. We shall refer mostly to [28] and follow the notation and terminology developed there.

Definition 2.6 *Let E be a W^* -correspondence over M . Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow B(H)$ be a faithful normal representation of the von Neumann algebra M . Then the σ -dual of E , denoted E^{σ} , is defined to be*

$$\{\eta \in B(H, E \otimes_{\sigma} H) \mid \eta\sigma(a) = (\varphi(a) \otimes I)\eta, a \in M\}.$$

Thus, by virtue of Definition 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, we see that the unit ball of $(E^{\sigma})^*$ may be identified with the collection of all covariant representations of E (such that the associated representation of M is σ). Moreover, E^{σ} has the structure of a W^* -correspondence over the commutant of $\sigma(M)$, $\sigma(M)'$, as described in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7 ([28, Proposition 2.8]) *With respect to the actions of $\sigma(M)'$ and the $\sigma(M)'$ -valued inner product defined as follows, E^{σ} becomes a W^* -correspondence over $\sigma(M)'$: For $a, b \in \sigma(M)'$, and $\eta \in E^{\sigma}$, $a \cdot \eta \cdot b := (I \otimes a)\eta b$, and for $\eta, \zeta \in E^{\sigma}$, $\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_{\sigma(M)'} := \eta^* \zeta$.*

It will be convenient to write φ_{σ} for the left action of $\sigma(M)'$ on E^{σ} , i.e., $\varphi_{\sigma}(a)T = (I_E \otimes a)T$, for $a \in \sigma(M)'$ and $T \in E^{\sigma}$.

Example 2.8 *If Θ is a contractive, normal, completely positive map on a von Neumann algebra M and if E is the GNS correspondence $M \otimes_{\Theta} M$ of Example 2.2, then, for every faithful representation σ of M on a Hilbert space H , the σ -dual of E is the space of all bounded operators mapping H into the Stinespring space K (associated with $\sigma \circ \Theta$, which maps M to $B(H)$) that intertwine the representation σ on H and the Stinespring dilation of $\sigma \circ \Theta$. More specifically, recall that K is the completion of the algebraic tensor product $M \otimes H$ with respect to M -valued form, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, defined by the formula $\langle a \otimes h, b \otimes k \rangle = \langle h, \sigma \circ \Theta(a^* b) k \rangle$. The Stinespring dilation of $\sigma \circ \Theta$ is the “induced” representation $a \rightarrow a \otimes I$. Thus E^{σ} is the space*

$$\{X : H \rightarrow M \otimes_{\sigma \circ \Theta} H \mid X\sigma(a) = (a \otimes I)X, a \in M\}. \quad (3)$$

Because of the special role this correspondence plays in this note, we write E_Θ^σ for it, or simply E_Θ when σ is understood. Following [26], we call E_Θ^σ or E_Θ the Arveson-Stinespring correspondence of Θ . Along with the GNS correspondence, the Arveson-Stinespring correspondence turns out to be of great importance in the study of a completely positive map Θ . (See [26] and [27] in particular.) If $M = B(H)$ and σ is the identity representation, E_Θ^σ is a Hilbert space and was studied by Arveson [3]. Note also that, if Θ is an endomorphism, then E_Θ^σ can be identified with the space of all operators on H with ranges in $\sigma \circ \Theta(I)H$ that intertwine σ and $\sigma \circ \Theta$.

Observe that if σ is a (faithful) normal representation of M on H and if ι denotes the identity representation of $\sigma(M)'$ on H , then for any correspondence E over M , we can form $E^\sigma \otimes_\iota H$ and we can consider the induced representation $\iota^{E^\sigma} \circ \varphi_\sigma$ of $\sigma(M)'$ and the produced representation $a \rightarrow I_{E^\sigma} \otimes a$, $a \in \sigma(M)$, of $\sigma(M)$ on $E^\sigma \otimes_\iota H$. The following lemma, which is part of [28, Lemma 3.8], shows that these representations are unitarily equivalent to the representations of M on $E \otimes_\sigma H$ with which we started; i.e. $\iota^{E^\sigma} \circ \varphi_\sigma$ is unitarily equivalent to the produced representation of $\sigma(M)'$ and the produced representation of $\sigma(M)$, $\sigma(a) \rightarrow I_{E^\sigma} \otimes \sigma(a)$, is unitarily equivalent to the induced representation $\sigma^E \circ \varphi$ of M .

Lemma 2.9 *Let E be a W^* -correspondence over a von Neumann algebra M . Let σ be a faithful normal representation of M on H and let E^σ be the σ -dual of E . Then the map which sends $X \otimes h \in E^\sigma \otimes_\iota H$ to $Xh \in E \otimes_\sigma H$ extends to a Hilbert space isomorphism $u : E^\sigma \otimes_\iota H \rightarrow E \otimes_\sigma H$. Moreover, for $b \in \sigma(M)'$ and $a \in M$,*

$$u(\varphi_\sigma(b) \otimes I_H) = (I_E \otimes b)u$$

and

$$u(I_{E^\sigma} \otimes \sigma(a)) = (\varphi_E(a) \otimes I_H)u.$$

In particular, when E is the GNS correspondence associated with a completely positive map Θ on M , $M \otimes_\Theta M$, u maps $E_\Theta^\sigma \otimes H$ onto $M \otimes_\Theta H = E \otimes_\sigma H$.

Proof. The fact that u is a well defined unitary operator can be found in [26, Lemma 3.8]. The rest follows from the following straightforward computation. We have, for $b \in \sigma(M)'$, $X \in E^\sigma$, $h \in H$ and $a \in M$, $u(b \cdot X \otimes$

$h) = b \cdot Xh = (I_E \otimes b)Xh = (I_E \otimes b)u(X \otimes h)$ and $u(X \otimes \sigma(a)h) = X\sigma(a)h = (\varphi_E(a) \otimes I)Xh = (\varphi_E(a) \otimes I)u(X \otimes h)$. $\square$

The following proposition formalizes in terms of our notation and perspective an important point made by Skeide in the sentence before Theorem 2.3 of [34]. It will help to clarify the relations between our work here and results in [10] and [7]. To state it, we let E be a W^* -correspondence over the von Neumann algebra M and we let σ be a faithful normal representation of M on a Hilbert space H . We write $End(E)$ for the collection of all adjointable *bimodule* maps on E . Thus an element of $End(E)$ is an element T in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ that commutes with $\varphi_E(M)$; i.e., $End(E)$ is the commutant of $\varphi_E(M)$ in $\mathcal{L}(E)$. Form the dual correspondence E^σ . Then an element T in $End(E)$ “induces” an element in $End(E^\sigma)$ that we shall denote by T^σ . It is defined by the formula $T^\sigma(X) = (T \otimes I) \circ X$, $X \in E^\sigma$. Observe that T^σ makes sense: An X in E^σ is a map from H to $E \otimes_\sigma H$ and $T \otimes I$ is a map on $E \otimes_\sigma H$; so the composition is a map from H to $E \otimes_\sigma H$, i.e., $T^\sigma(X) \in B(H, E \otimes_\sigma H)$. To see that $T^\sigma(X) \in E^\sigma$ for all $X \in E^\sigma$, simply note that since $X \in E^\sigma$ and since $T \in End(E)$, the desired inclusion results from an easy computation: $T^\sigma(X)\sigma(a) = (T \otimes I)X\sigma(a) = (T \otimes I)(\varphi_E(a) \otimes I)X = (\varphi_E(a) \otimes I)(T \otimes I)X$, for all $a \in M$. Also, it is clear that $T^\sigma(Xb) = T^\sigma(X)b$ for all $b \in \sigma(M)'$ and that $\|T^\sigma\| \leq \|T \otimes I\| = \|T\|$. Thus, since E^σ is a W^* -correspondence over $\sigma(M)'$, we conclude that T^σ is automatically adjointable and, therefore, that $T^\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(E^\sigma)$. The fact that T^σ lies in $End(E^\sigma)$ is quite evident since for $b \in \sigma(M)'$ and $X \in E^\sigma$, $\varphi_\sigma(b)X = (I_E \otimes b)X$ by definition.

Proposition 2.10 *If E is a W^* -correspondence over a von Neumann algebra M and if σ is a faithful normal representation of M on a Hilbert space H , then the map from $End(E)$ to $End(E^\sigma)$ defined by the formula $T \rightarrow T^\sigma$ is a normal $*$ -isomorphism from $End(E)$ onto $End(E^\sigma)$.*

Proof. The only things that really need comment are the facts that the map is isometric and surjective. Both will be shown with the aid of the Hilbert space isomorphism $u : E^\sigma \otimes_\iota H \rightarrow E \otimes_\sigma H$ from Lemma 2.9.

By definition of u and T^σ it is clear that $u(T^\sigma \otimes I_H) = (T \otimes I_H)u$. Since the induced representations of $\mathcal{L}(E^\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}(E)$ are faithful, since ι and σ are faithful, we conclude that the map $T \rightarrow T^\sigma$ is isometric.

If $S \in End(E^\sigma)$, let $R = u(S \otimes I_H)u^*$. Then $R \in B(E \otimes_\sigma H)$. To show that $R \in \mathcal{L}(E) \otimes I_H$, it suffices to show that R commutes with the produced representation of $\sigma(M)'$, by Lemma 2.4. But this is clear from the

intertwining properties of u : For $b \in \sigma(M)'$,

$$\begin{aligned}
R(I \otimes b) &= u(S \otimes I)u^*(I \otimes b) = u((S \otimes I)(\varphi_\sigma(b) \otimes I))u^* \\
&= u(S\varphi_\sigma(b) \otimes I)u^* = u(\varphi_\sigma(b)S \otimes I)u^* \\
&= u((\varphi_\sigma(b) \otimes I)(S \otimes I))u^* = (I \otimes b)u(S \otimes I)u^* = (I \otimes b)R.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $R = T \otimes I$ for a suitable operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$. To see that $T \in \text{End}(E)$ is another calculation based on Lemma 2.9: for $a \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T\varphi_E(a) \otimes I &= (T \otimes I)(\varphi_E(a) \otimes I) = u(S \otimes I)u^*(\varphi_E(a) \otimes I) \\
&= u((S \otimes I_H)(I_{E^\sigma} \otimes \sigma(a)))u^* = u((S \otimes I_H)(I_{E^\sigma} \otimes \sigma(a)))u^* \\
&= u((I_{E^\sigma} \otimes \sigma(a))(S \otimes I_H))u^* = (\varphi_E(a) \otimes I)u(S \otimes I)u^* \\
&= (\varphi_E(a) \otimes I)(T \otimes I) = \varphi_E(a)T \otimes I.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, since σ is faithful, the induced representation of $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is faithful, and we conclude that $T \in \text{End}(E)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.11 *Let E be a W^* -correspondence over M and let (T, σ) be a covariant representation of E on a Hilbert space H , where σ is faithful. Then*

(i) *There is a projection $q_T \in \text{End}(E)$ such that*

$$q_TE \otimes H = [(I_E \otimes \sigma(M)')\tilde{T}^*H]. \quad (4)$$

(ii) $(I - q_T)E = \ker T$.

(iii) T is injective if and only if $q_T = I$.

Proof. Let L be the closed subspace of $E \otimes_\sigma H$ spanned by the vectors of the form $(I_E \otimes b)\tilde{T}^*h$ for $b \in \sigma(M)'$, $h \in H$. We also write $[(I_E \otimes \sigma(M)')\tilde{T}^*H]$ for L . Since L is invariant under $I_E \otimes \sigma(M)'$, the projection onto it lies in the commutant of $I_E \otimes \sigma(M)'$, which is $\mathcal{L}(E) \otimes I_H$. So we write $q_T \otimes I$ for this projection. It follows from the covariance property of $\tilde{T}$ that L is also invariant under $\varphi(M) \otimes I_H$. Thus $q_T \in \mathcal{L}(E) \cap \varphi(M)' = \text{End}(E)$, which proves (i). For (ii), note that, given $\xi \in E$, ξ belongs to $(I - q_T)E$ if and only if for all $h, k \in H$ and all $b \in \sigma(M)'$, $0 = \langle \xi \otimes h, (I_E \otimes b)\tilde{T}^*k \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}(\xi \otimes bh), k \rangle = \langle T(\xi)bh, k \rangle$; that is, if and only if $T(\xi) = 0$. The third assertion follows immediately from (ii). $\square$

Definition 2.12 *The projection q_T associated with a covariant representation (T, σ) of a W^* -correspondence E in Lemma 2.11 is called the support projection of T .*

Central to our study is the connection between completely positive maps on a von Neumann algebra and representations of their Arveson-Stinespring correspondences. To describe this connection, which was established in [26], fix a normal, contractive, completely positive map Θ on a von Neumann algebra N , which we shall assume is represented faithfully on a Hilbert space H . We omit reference to this representation. Form the Arveson-Stinespring correspondence for Θ , E_Θ , which, recall is a correspondence over N' . Also, let $W_\Theta : H \rightarrow N \otimes_\Theta H$ be the map defined by the equation $W_\Theta h := 1 \otimes h$. Since Θ is contractive, W_Θ is a contraction. (W_Θ is an isometry if and only if Θ is unital.) A calculation shows that W_Θ^* is given by the formula $W_\Theta^*(X \otimes h) = \Theta(X)h$ (see equation 2.1 in [26].) We define $T : E_\Theta \rightarrow B(H)$ by the formula

$$T(X) := W_\Theta^* X, \quad (5)$$

$X \in E_\Theta$. If σ denotes the identity representation of N' on H , then the pair (T, σ) is a representation of E_Θ in the sense of Definition 2.3, which is called the *identity* representation of E_Θ . We then have the following equation, which was proved in Corollary 2.23 of [26], showing how to express Θ in terms of (T, σ) :

$$\Theta(S) = \tilde{T}(I_{E_\Theta} \otimes S)\tilde{T}^*, \quad (6)$$

$S \in N$. Note that $\tilde{T}$ is $W_\Theta^* u$, where $u : E_\Theta \otimes H \rightarrow M \otimes_\Theta H$ is the Hilbert space isomorphism of Lemma 2.9 that sends $X \otimes h$ in $E_\Theta \otimes H$ to Xh in $M \otimes_\Theta H$. We note, too, that it is possible to represent all the positive powers of Θ through similar formulae [26, Theorem 2.24].

The following lemma shows that in the representation (T, σ) that arises from a completely positive map Θ , T always is injective.

Lemma 2.13 *Suppose N is a von Neumann algebra acting on the Hilbert space H and let Θ be a normal, contractive, completely positive map on N . Let E_Θ be its Arveson-Stinespring correspondence (constructed with respect to the identity representation of N on H) and let (T, σ) be the identity representation of E_Θ . Then*

- (i) *T is injective (i.e. its support projection q_T is I_{E_Θ}).*

(ii) Θ is multiplicative (i.e., an endomorphism) if and only if $\tilde{T}^*\tilde{T} = I_{E \otimes H}$.

(iii) Θ is unital if and only if $\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^* = I$.

Proof. In order to prove that $q_T = I$, fix $X \in E_\Theta$ with $X = (I - q_T)X$. Then, it follows from the definition of q_T , that, for every $h, k \in H$ and every $b \in N$, we have $0 = \langle \tilde{T}^*k, X \otimes bh \rangle = \langle k, \tilde{T}(X \otimes bh) \rangle = \langle k, T(X)bh \rangle = \langle k, W^*Xbh \rangle = \langle I \otimes k, Xbh \rangle = \langle I \otimes k, (b \otimes I_H)Xh \rangle = \langle b^* \otimes k, Xh \rangle$. But, since $b^* \otimes k$ (for $b \in N$ and $k \in H$) generate $N \otimes_\Theta H$, we get $X = 0$. Thus $q_T = I$.

For (ii), recall that $W_\Theta^*(b \otimes h) = \Theta(b)h$ for $b \in N, h \in H$. Then, for $b, c \in N, h, k \in H$, $\langle W_\Theta^*(b \otimes h), W_\Theta^*(c \otimes k) \rangle = \langle \Theta(b)h, \Theta(c)k \rangle$. We use the multiplicativity of Θ to conclude that this is equal to $\langle h, \Theta(b^*c)k \rangle = \langle b \otimes h, c \otimes k \rangle$, showing that W_Θ is an coisometry. Then, for $X, Y \in E_\Theta$, $T(X)^*T(Y) = X^*WW^*Y = X^*Y = \sigma(\langle X, Y \rangle)$. Thus (T, σ) is isometric.

Assertion (iii) is immediate from equation (6). $\square$

Definition 2.14 A completely contractive covariant representation (T, σ) of E is said to be fully coisometric if $\tilde{T}$ is a coisometry; that is, if $\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^* = I_H$.

The reasons for the terminology regarding a covariant representation (T, σ) , “isometric” and “coisometric”, is fairly clear - the accompanying operator $\tilde{T}$ must be an isometry or a coisometry. The reason for the adverb “fully” is somewhat more complicated to explain. For this, we refer the reader to Section 5 of [25]. It is important for us that the completely positive map Θ is unital if and only if (T, σ) is fully coisometric.

Conversely, suppose E is a W^* -correspondence over a von Neumann algebra M and that (T, σ) is a completely contractive covariant representation of E on a separable Hilbert space H , with σ faithful. Then for $b \in \sigma(M)'$, we define

$$\Theta_T(b) = \tilde{T}(I_E \otimes b)\tilde{T}^*. \quad (7)$$

Then by [26, Proposition 2.21], Θ_T is a well defined, normal, contractive completely positive map on $N := \sigma(M)'$. If we apply the preceding analysis to Θ_T , it is natural to ask how the correspondence that is produced and the representation of it are related to E and (T, σ) . We will show that they are essentially the same, provided T is injective.

So start with a W^* -correspondence E over a von Neumann algebra M and a completely contractive, covariant representation (T, σ) of E on H with faithful σ . Write $\Theta = \Theta_T$ for the normal, completely positive map defined

on $\sigma(M)'$ as in equation (7). Applying the discussion above (equations (3) and (5)) to this Θ , we get a W^* -correspondence E_Θ (over $\sigma(M)$) and a covariant representation of this correspondence, denoted $(T_\Theta, \sigma_\Theta)$, where σ_Θ is the identity representation of $\sigma(M)$. Then we have:

Theorem 2.15 *In the setup just described, there is an isomorphism of correspondences $w : E_\Theta \rightarrow q_T E$, where q_T is the support projection of T , that carries $(T_\Theta, \sigma_\Theta)$ to the restriction of (T, σ) to $q_T E$. More precisely, w is a one-to-one map from E_Θ onto $q_T E$ satisfying*

- (i) *For $a, b \in M$ and $X \in E_\Theta$, $w(\sigma(a) \cdot X \sigma(b)) = \varphi(a)w(X)b$.*
- (ii) *For $X, Y \in E_\Theta$, $\sigma(\langle w(X), w(Y) \rangle) = \langle X, Y \rangle$.*
- (iii) *$\sigma \circ \sigma^{-1} = \sigma_\Theta$ - the identity representation of $\sigma(M)$ - and $T \circ w = T_\Theta$.*

In particular, if T is injective, w is an isomorphism of correspondences from E_Θ onto E .

Proof. First, write N for $\sigma(M)'$ and let $v : N \otimes_\Theta H \rightarrow E \otimes_\sigma H$ be defined by $v(b \otimes h) = (I \otimes b)\tilde{T}^*h$ (and extended by linearity). Since $\langle (I \otimes b)\tilde{T}^*h, (I \otimes c)\tilde{T}^*k \rangle = \langle h, \Theta(b^*c)k \rangle = \langle b \otimes h, c \otimes k \rangle$, for $b, c \in \sigma(M)'$ and $h, k \in H$, the map v is an isometry. It follows from Lemma 2.11 that the range of v is $q_T E \otimes H$. Also note that, for $b \in \sigma(M)'$, $v(b \otimes I) = (I \otimes b)v$ and, for $a \in M$, $v(I \otimes \sigma(a)) = (\varphi(a) \otimes I)v$ (where the latter equality follows from the covariance property of $\tilde{T}$).

Fix $X \in E_\Theta$ and write

$$\psi(\xi)h = X^*v^*(\xi \otimes h),$$

for every $\xi \in E$ and $h \in H$. For $b \in \sigma(M)'$, compute $\psi(\xi)bh = X^*v^*(\xi \otimes bh) = X^*v^*(I \otimes b)(\xi \otimes h) = X^*(b \otimes I)v^*(\xi \otimes h) = bX^*v^*(\xi \otimes h)$ (where the last equality uses the covariance property of X). Thus $\psi(\xi) \in \sigma(M)$. Also note that, for $a \in M$, $\psi(\xi a) = \psi(\xi)\sigma(a)$ (as $\xi a \otimes h = \xi \otimes \sigma(a)h$). Thus the map $\xi \mapsto \sigma^{-1}(\psi(\xi))$ is a (right) module map from E to M . It follows from the selfduality of E that there is a unique element, $w(X)$, in E such that, for every $\xi \in E$ and $h \in H$,

$$\sigma(\langle w(X), \xi \rangle)h = X^*v^*(\xi \otimes h) \tag{8}$$

For $a, b \in M, \xi \in E$ and $h \in H$, we have $\sigma(\langle w(\sigma(a) \cdot X\sigma(b)), \xi \rangle)h = \sigma(\langle w((I \otimes \sigma(a))X\sigma(b)), \xi \rangle)h = \sigma(b^*)X^*(I \otimes \sigma(a^*))v^*(\xi \otimes h) = \sigma(b^*)X^*v^*(\varphi(a^*) \otimes I)(\xi \otimes h) = \sigma(b^*)X^*v^*(\varphi(a^*)\xi \otimes h) = \sigma(b^*)X^*v^*(\varphi(a^*)\xi \otimes h) = \sigma(b^*\langle w(X), \varphi(a^*)\xi \rangle)h = \sigma(\langle \varphi(a)w(X)b, \xi \rangle)h$. Thus $w(\sigma(a) \cdot X\sigma(b)) = \varphi(a)w(X)b$, proving (i).

For $\xi \in E$ we write L_ξ for the operator $L_\xi : H \rightarrow E \otimes_\sigma H$ mapping h to $\xi \otimes h$. It is easy to check that $L_\xi^*(\zeta \otimes h) = \sigma(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle)h$ so that equation (8) can be written $L_{w(X)}^*L_\xi = X^*v^*L_\xi$ for all $\xi \in E$. Thus

$$L_{w(X)} = vX \quad (9)$$

for every $X \in E_\Theta$.

Fixing $X, Y \in E_\Theta$, we have $\sigma(\langle w(X), w(Y) \rangle) = L_{w(X)}^*L_{w(Y)} = X^*v^*vY = X^*Y$ proving (ii).

Next we show that the image of w is q_TE . For this, let ξ in E be orthogonal to the range of w . But then $L_\xi^*vX = \sigma(\langle \xi, w(X) \rangle) = 0$ for all $X \in E_\Theta$. Since the closed subspace spanned by the ranges of all $X \in E_\Theta$ is all of $N \otimes_\Theta H$ ([26, Lemma 2.10]) and since the image of v is $q_TE \otimes H$, we get that ξ is orthogonal to q_TE . This shows that the range of w contains q_TE but the argument above can be reversed to show that equality holds.

It is left to prove part (iii). The first equality is obvious (as σ_Θ is the identity map). For the second, fix $X \in E_\Theta$ and compute, for $h, k \in H$, $\langle W^*Xh, k \rangle = \langle Xh, I \otimes k \rangle = \langle vXh, v(I \otimes k) \rangle = \langle w(X) \otimes h, \tilde{T}^*k \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}(w(X) \otimes h), k \rangle = \langle T(w(X))h, k \rangle$. Thus $T_\Theta(X) = W^*X = T(w(X))$. $\square$

The following corollary of Theorem 2.15 is immediate from Lemma 2.13 and [26, Proposition 2.21].

Corollary 2.16 *Suppose that M is a von Neumann algebra and that E is a W^* -correspondence over M . If (T, σ) is a completely contractive covariant representation of E on a Hilbert space H , where σ is faithful and T is injective, then:*

(i) (T, σ) is isometric if and only if Θ_T is multiplicative.

(ii) (T, σ) is fully coisometric if and only if Θ_T is unital.

Remark 2.17 *We pause to summarize some of the salient features of our discussion and to help clarify the relation between the GNS correspondence of a cp-map and its Arveson-Stinespring correspondence. It is*

clear from Example 2.2 that there is a bijective correspondence between (contractive) normal cp-maps of a von Neumann algebra M and correspondences E over M endowed with “bi-cyclic” vectors ξ of norm at most one. By “bi-cyclic” we mean that the vector generates E as a bimodule over M : E is the closed linear span in the σ -topology of $[6]$ of the set of products $a\xi b$, $a, b \in M$. Example 2.2 shows how a cp-map gives rise to such a pair (E, ξ) . And conversely, given a pair (E, ξ) , we get a cp-map Θ of M via the formula: $\Theta(a) = \langle \xi, a\xi \rangle$, $a \in M$, and since ξ is “bi-cyclic”, (E, ξ) is isomorphic to the GNS correspondence of Θ . In the literature Θ is sometimes referred to as the coefficient of ξ (cf. [1, 23, 30]). The coefficient of a vector ξ is a unital cp-map if and only if ξ is a unit vector in the sense that $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 1$, the identity of M^1 . This is much more than saying that ξ has norm one and, of course, the notion of a unit vector makes sense in the setting of any Hilbert module over a unital C^* -algebra. On the other hand, if E is any W^* -correspondence over a von Neumann algebra M and if σ is a faithful normal representation of M on a Hilbert space H , then the elements of E^σ of norm at most one are in bijective correspondence with the contractive covariant representations (T, σ) of M through the formula $T \rightarrow \tilde{T}^*$, as we indicated in the discussion surrounding equation (2). As we noted in Proposition 2.7, E^σ is a correspondence over $\sigma(M)'$ and the coefficient of the vector $\tilde{T}^*$ determined by (T, σ) is the completely positive map Θ_T on $\sigma(M)'$ defined in equation (7). So Θ_T is unital precisely when $\tilde{T}^*$ is a unit vector in E^σ , which occurs precisely when $\tilde{T}$, viewed as an operator, is a coisometry, i.e., precisely when (T, σ) is fully coisometric.

Suppose that we are given a covariant representation (T, σ) of E , where σ is faithful and suppose that $\tilde{T}^* \in E^\sigma$ is a “bi-cyclic” vector. Then as we just observed, E^σ is the GNS module for the cp-map Θ_T . By Theorem 2.15, the Arveson-Stinespring correspondence associated Θ_T is isomorphic to $q_T E$. So, if $\tilde{T}^*$ is a bi-cyclic vector in E^σ , then E is (essentially) the Arveson-Stinespring correspondence for Θ_T if and only if T is injective.

¹We learned this very suggestive terminology from [10, Definition 3.1 et. seq.]

3 Semigroups of Completely Positive Maps, their Product Systems and their Dilations

So far we discussed a single completely positive map and the W^* -correspondence associated with it. We now recall and develop further some basic facts about semigroups of completely positive maps and the product systems associated with them.

First, we need some terminology.

Definition 3.1 *let N be a von Neumann algebra.*

- (i) *A cp-semigroup on N is a semigroup $\{\Theta_t : t \geq 0\}$ of contractive, completely positive, normal, linear maps on N such that $\Theta_0 = \text{id}$ and, for $t, s \geq 0$, $\Theta_{t+s} = \Theta_t \circ \Theta_s$.*
- (ii) *A cp_0 -semigroup on N is a cp-semigroup of unital maps.*
- (iii) *An e -semigroup on N is a cp-semigroup of endomorphisms.*
- (iv) *An e_0 -semigroup on N is a cp-semigroup of unital endomorphisms.*

Note that we are not making any assumptions, at this stage, about the continuity properties of these semigroups.

Definition 3.2 *A family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of W^* -correspondences over a von Neumann algebra M is said to be a product system if $E(0) = M$ (with the trivial right and left actions of M) and if for every $t, s \geq 0$, there is an isomorphism $U_{t,s}$ (of W^* -correspondences) mapping $E(t) \otimes_M E(s)$ onto $E(t+s)$ such that $U_{t+s,r}(U_{t,s} \otimes I_{E(r)}) = U_{t,s+r}(I_{E(t)} \otimes U_{s,r})$ for every $t, s, r \geq 0$ and such that, for every $t \geq 0$, $U_{t,0}$ and $U_{0,t}$ are the right and left actions of M on $E(t)$.*

We shall refer to the maps $\{U_{t,s}\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ as the multiplication isomorphisms of the system. Often they will be suppressed in calculations.

Implicit in this definition is the assumption that the $E(t)$ are essential or unital as left M -modules, i.e. that $\varphi_t(1)$ is the identity operator in $\mathcal{L}(E(t))$, for all $t \geq 0$.

Definition 3.3 *If $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{F(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are two product systems of W^* -correspondences over a von Neumann algebra M , then an isomorphism from*

$\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ to $\{F(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a family $\gamma = \{\gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of correspondence isomorphisms, with $\gamma_t : E(t) \rightarrow F(t)$, that intertwine the multiplications on $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{F(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. That is, if $\{U_{t,s}^E\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ and $\{U_{t,s}^F\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ are the multiplication isomorphisms for $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{F(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, respectively, then $\gamma_{t+s} \circ U_{t,s}^E = U_{t,s}^F \circ (\gamma_t \otimes \gamma_s)$, for all $t, s \geq 0$.

Thus a product system is a bundle of correspondences over the positive half-axis, $[0, \infty)$, where the fibres can be multiplied and two such product systems are isomorphic if and only if there is a bundle map between them consisting of isomorphisms that multiply in a certain sense specified in Definition 3.3.

In the next section we shall deal with measurable product systems. In the present discussion we do not assume any Borel structure on the system.

Next we want to define the notion of a covariant representation of a product system, but first, for the sake of clarity, we state a lemma that shows that certain operations in our definition make sense and that underpins much of our analysis in this section. It encapsulates facts used freely in [26], which in turn derive ultimately from [25].

Lemma 3.4 *Let E and F be two W^* -correspondences over a von Neumann algebra M and let (T, σ) and (S, σ) be covariant representations of E and F respectively on a Hilbert space H , where σ is faithful.. Write Θ_T and Θ_S for the corresponding completely positive maps on $\sigma(M)'$ and let $\Theta = \Theta_T \circ \Theta_S$. If we set $R(\xi \otimes \zeta) = T(\xi)S(\zeta)$ for $\xi \in E, \zeta \in F$, then R may be extended uniquely to $E \otimes F$ so that the extension, along with σ forms a completely contractive covariant representation (R, σ) of $E \otimes_M F$ on H . Further, we have $\tilde{R} = \tilde{T}(I_E \otimes \tilde{S})$ and $\Theta_R = \Theta$.*

Proof. One checks easily that $\tilde{R}$ defined by the equation $\tilde{R} := \tilde{T}(I_E \otimes \tilde{S})$ is a contractive mapping from $E \otimes F \otimes H$ to H that satisfies $\tilde{R}\sigma(\cdot) = \varphi_{E \otimes F}(\cdot) \otimes I_H \tilde{R}$, i.e., equation (2) is satisfied. By [25, Lemmas 3.4-3.6], there is a unique completely contractive bimodule map $R : E \otimes F \rightarrow B(H)$ such that $R(\xi \otimes \eta)h = \tilde{R}(\xi \otimes \eta \otimes h)$. The rest follows by straightforward calculation. $\square$

Definition 3.5 *Given a product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of W^* -correspondences over a von Neumann algebra M , a (completely contractive) covariant representation of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space H is a family $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ where each T_t*

is a completely contractive linear map from $E(t)$ to $B(H)$ and T_0 is a faithful normal representation of M on H such that each pair (T_t, T_0) is a completely contractive covariant representation of $(E(t), M)$ in the sense of Definition 2.3 and such that $T_t \otimes T_s = T_{t+s}$ (after identifying $E(t+s) = E(t) \otimes E(s)$)².

Such a covariant representation is called *isometric* (respectively, *fully coisometric* or *injective*) if each (T_t, T_0) , $t > 0$, is isometric (respectively, fully coisometric or injective).

Suppose that $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a cp -semigroup on a von Neumann algebra N and assume that N acts faithfully on a separable Hilbert space H . For every $t \geq 0$ write $\mathcal{E}_t$ for the Arveson-Stinespring correspondence E_{Θ_t} (over N') associated with Θ_t as in equation (3). That is,

$$\mathcal{E}_t = E_{\Theta_t} = \{X : H \rightarrow N \otimes_{\Theta_t} H \mid Xb = (b \otimes I)X\}. \quad (10)$$

In general, the family $\{\mathcal{E}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is *not* a product system. However, for $t, s \geq 0$, there is a “coisometric multiplication” $m_{t,s}$ mapping $\mathcal{E}_t \otimes \mathcal{E}_s$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{t+s}$ but it may not be an isometry. (This was observed first by Arveson in [4]). The definition of $m_{t,s}$ is a bit involved, but is spelled out in detail in Section 2 of [26], see Proposition 2.12, in particular. We do not need the details of the definition of $m_{t,s}$, but we do want to record for future reference the fact that

$$m_{t,s}^* \text{ embeds } \mathcal{E}_{t+s} \text{ in } \mathcal{E}_t \otimes \mathcal{E}_s \quad (11)$$

isometrically as a W^* -correspondence map for all $s, t \geq 0$.

As we showed in [26], it is possible to “refine” the family $\{\mathcal{E}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in order to obtain a product system $\{E_{\Theta}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ over N' . This process was also carried out in [22] and a “dual” process was used in [10]. To describe the process from [26] briefly, we fix $t > 0$ and for any partition $\mathcal{P} = \{t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = t\}$ of the interval $[0, t]$ we define $H_{\mathcal{P},t}$ to be $N \otimes_{\Theta_{t_1}} N \otimes_{\Theta_{t_2-t_1}} N \otimes \dots \otimes_{\Theta_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}}} N \otimes_{\Theta_{t-t_{n-1}}} H$. (Where the latter space is defined by successively applying the definition of $N \otimes_{\Theta} H$). If $\mathcal{P}' = \{t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \tau < t_{k+1} < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = t\}$ is a one-point refinement of $\mathcal{P}$ then we can embed $H_{\mathcal{P},t}$ isometrically into $H_{\mathcal{P}',t}$ by sending $a_1 \otimes a_2 \cdots a_n \otimes h$ to $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \otimes a_{k+1} \otimes I \otimes a_{k+2} \cdots a_n \otimes h$. Applying this embedding successively, we can construct an isometric embedding of $H_{\mathcal{P},t}$ into $H_{\mathcal{P}',t}$ whenever $\mathcal{P}'$ refines $\mathcal{P}$. We denote this embedding by $v_{0\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{P}}$ and

²Note that $T_t \otimes T_s$ makes sense by the preceding lemma and that for each $t > 0$.

note that $v_{0\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{P}}$ intertwines the action of N . Taking the direct limit (over the set of all partitions of $[0, t]$ ordered by refinement) we get the Hilbert space H_t . Note that in the purely algebraic situation we are describing, H_t is not separable in general. However, when the construction is carried out using an ultraweakly continuous semigroup, $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ acting on a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra, which is represented faithfully on a separable Hilbert space H_0 , then the space H_t will be separable because it can be seen to be a direct limit over a countable cofinal subset of the collection of all partitions of $[0, t]$ directed by refinement. The direct limit is, in fact, a direct limit of left N -modules because the $v_{0\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{P}}$ are N -module maps, so we end up with a (normal) action of N on H_t . We let $E_\Theta(t)$ be the space of all bounded maps from H to H_t that intertwine the actions of N . One can then define on $E_\Theta(t)$ a structure of a correspondence over N' (similar to the one defined in Proposition 2.7) making $E_\Theta(t)$ a W^* -correspondence over N' . Applying [26, Lemma 3.2], we find that the resulting family $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a product system. We note that in [26], it was assumed that the cp -semigroup is unital but this assumption was not used for the construction of $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

We also get a (canonical) covariant representation $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of this product system (see [26, Equation (3.1) and Theorem 3.9]) which we refer to as the *identity representation* of $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. It is an analogue of the identity representation of a single completely positive map defined in equation (5).

Remark 3.6 *It is important to note here that if the cp -semigroup is a semigroup of endomorphisms (i.e., an e -semigroup), then the family $\{\mathcal{E}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is already a product system so that this “refining” process is not necessary and, if performed, yields $E_\Theta(t) = \mathcal{E}_t$. It is natural to ask which cp -semigroups have this property. One of the consequences of our analysis is that any cp -semigroup that is subordinate to an e -semigroup in a sense that we shall define in Section 5 has this property, i.e., it already is a product system. See Corollary 5.13.*

Conversely, suppose we are given a product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ over a von Neumann algebra M and a covariant representation $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of it on a Hilbert space H . Then we can define a cp -semigroup on the von Neumann algebra $T_0(M)'$ by

$$\Theta_t(b) = \tilde{T}_t(I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^*, \quad b \in T_0(M)'. \quad (12)$$

The fact that this is a semigroup follows easily from the equality $\tilde{T}_{t+s} = (I_{E(t)} \otimes \tilde{T}_s)\tilde{T}_t$. For details, see [26, Theorem 3.10]. We shall assume in the

sequel that T_0 is the identity representation of M and we shall write N for the commutant of M . As we explained above, the cp -semigroup $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on N defined via equation (12) gives rise to a product system $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ over $N' = M$. Our objective is to show that $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is, in a natural way, isomorphic to a subproduct system of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. That is, we want to prove an analogue of Theorem 2.15.

For this purpose, we shall use the following lemma, Lemma 3.8, but first it will be helpful to put some of our discussion into a more general context.

Remark 3.7 *Let E and F be two W^* -correspondences over the von Neumann algebra M . In general, given $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(F)$, the formula $\xi \otimes \eta \rightarrow T\xi \otimes S\eta$ does not define an element in $\mathcal{L}(E \otimes F)$. The formula for the inner product in $E \otimes F$ shows what the problem is: $\langle T(\xi_1) \otimes S(\eta_1), T(\xi_1) \otimes S(\eta_1) \rangle = \langle S(\eta_1), \langle T(\xi_1), T(\xi_2) \rangle S(\eta_2) \rangle$. Unless one can “slip” $\langle T(\xi_1), T(\xi_2) \rangle$ past S and write $\langle T(\xi_1), T(\xi_2) \rangle S(\eta_2) = S(\langle T(\xi_1), T(\xi_2) \rangle \eta_2)$, one will run into difficulties trying to estimate the norms of certain sums that contain expressions like $\langle T(\xi_1) \otimes S(\eta_1), T(\xi_1) \otimes S(\eta_1) \rangle$ in terms of the norms of S and T . However, if S lies in $\text{End}(F)$, then one can “slip” $\langle T(\xi_1), T(\xi_2) \rangle$ by S and it is not hard to see that the formula $\xi \otimes \eta \rightarrow T\xi \otimes S\eta$ defines an adjointable operator with norm at most $\|T\| \|S\|$. In this case, we denote the element of $\mathcal{L}(E \otimes F)$ so defined by $T \otimes S$. And of course we have $T \otimes S = (T \otimes I)(I \otimes S) = (I \otimes S)(T \otimes I)$.*

In fact, this observation together with the discussions of induced and produced representations that we have had all fall under a bigger framework, still: We can discuss correspondences E from one von Neumann algebra, M , say, to another, say N . That is E is a W^ -Hilbert module over N , endowed with a normal $*$ -representation $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$. If we are given also a W^* -correspondence F from N to P , then we may form their internal, self-dual tensor product $E \otimes F$ to obtain a correspondence from M to P . An element $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ and an element $S \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ form an operator, denoted $T \otimes S$ in $\mathcal{L}(E \otimes F)$ if S lies in the relative commutant of the left action of N on F . In the special case when F is a Hilbert space, i.e., a W^* -Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}$, then the left action of N is nothing more than a normal representation, say σ , of N on F . For $T \in M$, $T \otimes I$ is the induced representation of M determined by E and the left action of M on E , and, of course, $I \otimes S$, $S \in \sigma(N)'$ is the produced action. We will not need all of this, but the broad context may be helpful for understanding. A good place to get the rudiments of the theory as well as connections with other work on correspondences is*

the paper by Baillet, Denizeau and Havet [6]. Also, what we are discussing falls under the rubric of W^* -categories. For these, see [17] and references therein. And of course, correspondences are ubiquitous in subfactor theory and in quantum field theory. For an encyclopedic account, together with an extensive bibliography, see [14].

Lemma 3.8 *With the hypotheses and notation as in Lemma 3.4, let q_T , q_S , and q_R be the support projections of T , S , and R defined in Lemma 2.11. Then $q_R \leq q_T \otimes q_S$.*

Proof. Note that $\xi \in E$ lies in $(I - q_T)E$ if and only if $T(\xi) = 0$ (Lemma 2.11). Since $R(\xi \otimes \zeta) = T(\xi)S(\zeta)$, for $\xi \in E, \zeta \in F$, we get that $I - q_R$ dominates both $I_E \otimes (I_F - q_S) = I_{E \otimes F} - (I_E \otimes q_S)$ and $I_{E \otimes F} - (q_T \otimes I_F)$. It follows that $q_R \leq q_T \otimes q_S$. $\square$

To show that $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is isomorphic to a subsystem of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we write $\mathcal{E}_t$ for E_{Θ_t} ; as in equation (10) and we write q_t for the support projection q_{T_t} of T_t . Theorem 2.15 provides an isomorphism of correspondences

$$w_t : \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow q_t E(t)$$

such that, for $X \in \mathcal{E}_t$ and $h \in H$,

$$w_t(X) \otimes h = v_t \circ X(h), \quad (13)$$

where $v_t : N \otimes_{\Theta_t} H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t \otimes H$ is defined by the equation $v_t(b \otimes_{\Theta_t} h) = (I \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^* h$, $b \otimes_{\Theta_t} h \in N \otimes_{\Theta_t} H$. Considering $m_{t,s}^*$ from equation (11) we obtain the following diagram in which the vertical arrows are isomorphisms of W^* -correspondences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_{t+s} & \xrightarrow{m_{t,s}^*} & \mathcal{E}_t \otimes \mathcal{E}_s \\ w_{t+s} \downarrow & & \downarrow w_t \otimes w_s \\ q_{t+s} E(t+s) & & q_t E(t) \otimes q_s E(s) \end{array}$$

Since each projection q_s lies in $\text{End}(E(s))$ we may conclude from Lemma 3.8 that

$$q_{t+s} \leq q_t \otimes q_s, \quad (14)$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$, after identifying $E(t+s)$ with $E(t) \otimes E(s)$ using $U_{t,s}$. Thus the isomorphisms w_t respect the “order” on the $\mathcal{E}_t$ and the order on the spaces $q_t E(t)$. Or to say things a bit more suggestively, the *proto-product*

system $\{\mathcal{E}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is isomorphic to the image of the “convex” family $\{q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ which defines a bundle endomorphism of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

There is equivalent way to define $E_\Theta(t)$, which we use here. Write $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}, t)$ for the space of all bounded maps from H to $H_{\mathcal{P}, t}$ that intertwine the actions of N . Then in a fashion similar to Proposition 2.7, $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}, t)$ becomes a correspondence over N' . Further, whenever $\mathcal{P}'$ refines $\mathcal{P}$, the map $v_{0\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}}$ that embeds $H_{\mathcal{P}, t}$ into $H_{\mathcal{P}', t}$ defines, via composition, a map $v_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}, t) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}', t)$, which is an N' -correspondence embedding. By [26, Lemma 3.1] we conclude that

$$E_\Theta(t) \simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}, t), v_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}}). \quad (15)$$

The reason this isomorphism is important for our analysis is that we may apply [26, Proposition 2.12] to construct an isomorphism of correspondences

$$\Psi(\mathcal{P}, t) : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}, t) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{t-t_{n-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{t_1}, \quad (16)$$

for each partition $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = t\}$. Thanks to the discussion in the preceding paragraph, we may identify each space $\mathcal{E}_{t_k - t_{k-1}}$ with the range of the projection $q_{t_k - t_{k-1}}$ in $\mathcal{L}(E(t_k - t_{k-1}))$ and, using the maps $U_{t,s}$ from Definition 3.2, we may build a projection in $\mathcal{L}(E(t))$, denoted $q(\mathcal{P}, t) = q_{t-t_{n-1}} \otimes q_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes q_{t_1}$, and an isomorphism of W^* -correspondences $\Xi(\mathcal{P}, t)$ from $\mathcal{E}_{t-t_{n-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{t_1}$ onto $q(\mathcal{P}, t)E(t)$ so that if $\mathcal{P}'$ refines $\mathcal{P}$ then $q(\mathcal{P}, t) \leq q(\mathcal{P}', t)$ in $\mathcal{L}(E(t))$ and so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}, t) & \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}}} & \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}', t) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ q(\mathcal{P}, t)E(t) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}}} & q(\mathcal{P}', t)E(t) \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow is $\Xi(\mathcal{P}, t)\Psi(\mathcal{P}, t)$, the right vertical arrow is $\Xi(\mathcal{P}', t)\Psi(\mathcal{P}', t)$ and where $\iota_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}}$ is the inclusion map. The fact that $q(\mathcal{P}, t) \leq q(\mathcal{P}', t)$ in $\mathcal{L}(E(t))$ may be seen easily by viewing $\mathcal{P}'$ as a succession of one-point refinements of $\mathcal{P}$ and applying the ‘convexity’ relation (14), which is a consequence of Lemma 3.8: If $\mathcal{P} = \{t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n = t\}$ and if $\mathcal{P}' = \{t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < \tau < t_{k+1} < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n = t\}$, then $q(\mathcal{P}, t) = q_{t-t_{n-1}} \cdots \otimes q_{t_{k+1}-t_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes q_{t_1} \leq q_{t-t_{n-1}} \cdots \otimes q_{t_{k+1}-\tau} \otimes q_{\tau-t_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes q_{t_1} = q(\mathcal{P}', t)$. Consequently, if we define $\bar{q}_t$ by the formula

$$\bar{q}_t := \sup_{\mathcal{P}} q(\mathcal{P}, t) \quad (17)$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions $\mathcal{P}$ of the interval $[0, t]$, then $\bar{q}_t$ is a projection in $\mathcal{L}(E(t))$ such that $E_\Theta(t) = \bar{q}_t E(t)$. Further, with the aid of the isomorphisms $U_{t,s}$ that define the product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we conclude that $\bar{q}_{t+s} = \bar{q}_t \otimes \bar{q}_s$.

We summarize our discussion of the last three paragraphs as

Theorem 3.9 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a product system over a von Neumann algebra M and let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a covariant representation of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space H such that T_0 is injective. Let $\Theta = \{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the cp-semigroup defined by $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ through equation (12) and let $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the product system generated by Θ , as was just described. If q_t is the support projection of T_t , for each t , and if $\bar{q}_t$ is defined by equation (17) then $\bar{q}_t$ is a projection in $\text{End}(E(t))$ with $q_t \leq \bar{q}_t$ for all t , $\bar{q}_{t+s} = \bar{q}_t \otimes \bar{q}_s$, for all $s, t \geq 0$, and $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is isomorphic to the product system $\{\bar{q}_t E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ - a subproduct system of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Further, if $q_{t+s} = q_t \otimes q_s$ for all $t, s \geq 0$, then $q_t = \bar{q}_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

Note that the last assertion is clear since if $q_{t+s} = q_t \otimes q_s$ for all $t, s \geq 0$, then $q(\mathcal{P}, t) = q_t$ for all partitions $\mathcal{P}$ of $[0, t]$. So by definition of $\bar{q}_t$ as $\sup_{\mathcal{P}} q(\mathcal{P}, t)$ in equation (17), the assertion follows.

4 Measurable product systems

In this section we develop the theory of Borel product systems of W^* -correspondences and relate them to quantum Markov semigroups. Our formulation was inspired by Effros's analysis of Borel fields of von Neumann algebras in [13], which seems ready-made to allow us to perform the analysis we want to undertake. We would like to note that alternate approaches to our discussion in this section may be possible. For example, Borel and continuity structures have long played a central role in the structure of Fell bundles, which are product systems over groups (see [15]). For the purpose of studying generalizations of the tensor operator algebras from [25], the first author generalized Fell bundles over groups to Fell bundles over groupoids in [24] and showed how to define a topological product system over a partial order in a topological groupoid. In [19], Hirshberg gave a definition of a Borel structure on a system of C^* -correspondences that is close to ours and in [35] Skeide attached a continuity structure to an E_0 -semigroup defined on $\mathcal{L}(E)$, where E is a Hilbert C^* -module over an auxiliary C^* -algebra. One can get

Borel structures from topologies of course and W^* -correspondences from C^* -correspondences, but we shall not delve into the exact relations here, since they do not contribute to our immediate purposes.

The following definition is modeled on the definition of a Borel field of Hilbert spaces. (See the “Borel” version of Definition 1 and Proposition 3 in [12, Part II, Chapter 1]). In it and throughout the remainder of this paper, when we talk about the Borel structure on a von Neumann algebra M , say, then we mean the Borel structure generated by the weak operator topology. This is the same as the Borel structure generated by the strong-, ultraweak- or the ultrastrong operator topology and so, in particular, it is independent of any faithful representation of M .

We want to emphasize that from now on all of our von Neumann algebras are countably decomposable and all Hilbert spaces under consideration are separable. These assumptions are essential for most of our analysis, in particular for dealing with Borel product systems, which are, *inter alia*, Borel families of W^* -modules in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 4.1 *Let M be a (countably decomposable) von Neumann algebra. For every $t \in [0, \infty)$, let $E(t)$ be a W^* -module over M . We shall say the family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Borel family (or is measurable) if there is a countable family of cross sections $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ (that is, each f_n is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and $f_n(t) \in E(t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$) satisfying:*

- (i) *For every n, m , the M -valued function $t \mapsto \langle f_n(t), f_m(t) \rangle$ is Borel measurable.*
- (ii) *For every $t \in [0, \infty)$, the W^* -submodule of $E(t)$ generated by $\{f_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is $E(t)$.*

Such a family of cross sections $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ will be called a defining family of cross sections for the family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Given a Borel family of W^* -modules $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and a defining family of cross sections $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, we set $[0, \infty) \star E := \{(t, \xi) : \xi \in E(t)\}$ and let $\pi : [0, \infty) \star E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the projection onto the first factor, $\pi(t, \xi) = t$. Then algebraically, $[0, \infty) \star E$ together with π forms a bundle over $[0, \infty)$. Further, the sections $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ define maps $\{f'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of this bundle to M via the equation $f'_n(t, \xi) = \langle f_n(t), \xi \rangle$. The Borel structure on $[0, \infty) \star E$ is defined

to be the smallest Borel structure making the map π and each of the maps f'_n Borel.

It is immediate, therefore, that a section $t \mapsto (t, g(t)) \in [0, \infty) \star E$ is a Borel map if and only if its composition with each f'_n is Borel; that is, if and only if the map to M , $t \mapsto \langle f_n(t), g(t) \rangle$ is Borel for each n .

Definition 4.2 *A family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of W^* -correspondences over a (countably decomposable) von Neumann algebra M is said to be a Borel family of W^* -correspondences (or a measurable family of W^* -correspondences) if*

- (i) *It is measurable as a family of W^* -modules in the sense of Definition 4.1.*
- (ii) *The map from $M \times ([0, \infty) \star E)$ to $[0, \infty) \star E$ sending $(a, (t, \xi))$ to $(t, \varphi_t(a)\xi)$ is a Borel map.*

The family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called a measurable product system (of W^ -correspondences) if, in addition, it satisfies:*

- (iii) *For every $t, s \geq 0$ there is an isomorphism $U_{t,s}$ (of W^* -correspondences) mapping $E(t) \otimes_M E(s)$ onto $E(t+s)$ such that $U_{t+s,r}(U_{t,s} \otimes I_{E(r)}) = U_{t,s+r}(I_{E(t)} \otimes U_{s,r})$ for every $t, s, r \geq 0$.*
- (iv) *The family $\{U_{s,t}\}_{s,t \geq 0}$ is Borel in the sense that if $t \mapsto \xi(t)$ is a Borel section and if η lies in $E(s)$, then the sections $l(\eta)\xi$ and $r(\eta)\xi$, defined by the equations*

$$(l(\eta)\xi)(t) = U_{s,t-s}(\eta \otimes \xi(t-s))$$

$$(r(\eta)\xi)(t) = U_{t-s,s}(\xi(t-s) \otimes \eta)$$

are Borel (where $\xi(t-s)$ is understood to be 0 if $t < s$).

Remark 4.3 (1) *Often we shall suppress the maps φ_t and $U_{t,s}$ when working with a measurable product system of W^* -correspondences.*

- (2) *It follows from part (ii) of the definition that, whenever $t \mapsto a_t$ is a Borel map from $[0, \infty)$ to M and $t \mapsto \xi(t)$ is a Borel section of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, the map $t \mapsto a_t \xi(t)$ ($= \varphi_t(a_t)\xi(t)$) is also a Borel section.*

- (3) *We do not know if the condition (iv) in the definition of a measurable product system is equivalent to the measurability of the map from $([0, \infty) \star E) \times ([0, \infty) \star E)$ to $[0, \infty) \star E$ induced by the family $\{U_{s,t}\}_{s,t \geq 0}$.*

Suppose that $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Borel family of W^* -modules over M and that $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a defining family of cross sections. We also fix a (faithful) representation σ of M on a Hilbert space H and set $H(t) = E(t) \otimes_\sigma H$. Then the family of sections $\{f_n(t) \otimes e_m\}_{n,m \geq 0}$, where $\{e_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ is a fixed orthonormal basis of H , makes $\{H(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ a Borel family of Hilbert spaces. It then follows from [12, Proposition 1 in section II.1.4] that there is a fixed Hilbert space H_0 and unitary operators v_t from H_0 onto $H(t)$ such that, for every $h \in H$, the section $t \mapsto v_t h$ is Borel. (Note that we can always assume that each $H(t)$ is infinite dimensional by choosing H to be infinite dimensional at the outset.) Thus, the measurable Hilbert bundle determined by the $H(t)$ is trivialized by the unitary family $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. It follows that given a Borel section $t \mapsto \xi(t) \in E(t)$ and vectors $k \in H$ and $h \in H_0$, the map $t \mapsto \langle L_{\xi(t)}^* v_t h, k \rangle = \langle v_t h, \xi(t) \otimes k \rangle$ is Borel.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a Borel family of W^* -modules and let g_1 and g_2 be two Borel sections. Then the map $t \mapsto \langle g_1(t), g_2(t) \rangle$ is a Borel map.*

Proof. For a Borel family of Hilbert spaces, this result is known ([12, Proposition 4, Chapter 1, Part II]). It is possible to prove the present lemma using similar methods; that is, by applying a Gram-Schmidt process in the setting of W^* -modules. But it is easier simply to take vectors $x_1, x_2 \in H$ (where M is represented faithfully on H), note that $\langle g_1(t) \otimes x_1, g_2(t) \otimes x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, \langle g_1(t), g_2(t) \rangle x_2 \rangle$, and then use the result about sections of a Borel family of Hilbert spaces. $\square$

Continuing with our discussion, define an isomorphism $\Phi_t : \mathcal{L}(E(t)) \rightarrow B(H_0)$ by setting $\Phi_t(T) = v_t^*(T \otimes I_H)v_t$ (for $t \geq 0$) where $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a trivializing family of unitaries as above. Since $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a defining family of cross sections of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we see that if h, k are vectors in H_0 , then the map $t \mapsto \langle \Phi_t(f_n(t) \otimes f_m(t)^*)h, k \rangle = \langle L_{f_n(t)}^* v_t h, L_{f_m(t)}^* v_t k \rangle$ is a Borel map for every n and m . Note also, that for a fixed $t \geq 0$, $\Phi_t(\mathcal{L}(E(t)))$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the operators $\Phi_t(f_n(t) \otimes f_m(t)^*)$. Thus, $\{\Phi_t(\mathcal{L}(E(t)))\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a measurable family of von Neumann algebras in $B(H_0)$ in the sense of [12, Definition II.3.2.1].

Now assume that in addition to being a Borel family of W^* -modules, $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Borel family of W^* -correspondences over M . Then for $a \in M$

and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $t \mapsto af_n(t) \otimes e_m$ is Borel and so is the map $t \mapsto \langle (\varphi_t(a) \otimes I)v_th_0, f_n(t) \otimes e_m \rangle = \langle v_th_0, a^*f_n(t) \otimes e_m \rangle$ (for $h_0 \in H_0$). It follows that, for $a \in M$, the map that sends t to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t(\varphi_t(a)) & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ is a Borel map. If, for each t , B_t is the von Neumann algebra generated by these matrices as a runs over M , we get a Borel family of von Neumann subalgebras of $B(H_0 \oplus H)$.

By a result of Effros [13, Theorem 3] the field $t \mapsto B'_t$ is also a Borel field of von Neumann algebras. Thus, there is a family $\{R_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of Borel maps into $B(H_0 \oplus H)$ such that, for every t , the set $\{R_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ generates the von Neumann algebra B'_t . Letting P and P_0 be the projections of $H_0 \oplus H$ onto H and H_0 , respectively, we find that operators in the right upper corner (i.e. operators in $P_0B'_tP$) are precisely the operators $T : H \rightarrow H_0$ that satisfy the equation $v_t^*(\varphi_t(a) \otimes I)v_tT = Ta$ for all $a \in M$. It follows from the definition of the σ -dual, $E(t)^\sigma$, (where σ is the fixed representation of M on H) that these are precisely the operators T such that v_tT lies in $E(t)^\sigma$.

Hence the family $\{E(t)^\sigma\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Borel family of W^* -modules over M' , where $\{v_tP_0R_n(t)P\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a defining family of cross sections for the Borel structure.

Theorem 4.5 *Let M be a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra, let σ be a faithful representation of M on a Hilbert space H and let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable product system over M . Then the system of duals $\{E(t)^\sigma\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a measurable product system over $\sigma(M)'$.*

Proof. We have already shown that $\{E(t)^\sigma\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Borel family of W^* -modules. So we need to verify conditions (ii)-(iv) in Definition 4.2. To verify condition (ii), fix a Borel map $t \mapsto b_t \in M'$ and a Borel section $t \mapsto \eta_t$ of $\{E(t)^\sigma\}_{t \geq 0}$. Note first that for every $h, k \in H$ and every pair of Borel sections $t \mapsto \xi_t$ and $t \mapsto \zeta_t$ of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we have $\langle (I_{E(t)} \otimes b_t^*)\xi_t \otimes h, \zeta_t \otimes k \rangle = \langle b_t^*h, \langle \xi_t, \zeta_t \rangle k \rangle$. Thus $t \mapsto I_{E(t)} \otimes b_t^*$ is a Borel section of the family $\{B(H_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and, consequently, $t \mapsto v_t^*(I_{E(t)} \otimes b_t^*)v_t$ is a Borel map into $B(H_0)$. Since $t \mapsto \eta_t$ is a Borel section of $\{E(t)^\sigma\}_{t \geq 0}$, $t \mapsto v_t^*\eta_t$ is a Borel map into $B(H, H_0)$ and it follows that, for every $h \in H$ and $h_0 \in H_0$, the map $t \mapsto \langle v_t^*(I_{E(t)} \otimes b_t)\eta_th, h_0 \rangle = \langle v_t^*\eta_th, v_t^*(I_{E(t)} \otimes b_t^*)v_th_0 \rangle$ is Borel. Thus $t \mapsto v_t^*(I_{E(t)} \otimes b_t)\eta_t$ is a Borel map into $B(H, H_0)$. Consequently, $t \mapsto (I_{E(t)} \otimes b_t)\eta_t = b_t \cdot \eta_t$ is a Borel section of $\{E(t)^\sigma\}_{t \geq 0}$, which verifies (ii).

For (iii), we note that if we write $U_{s,t}$ for the system of isomorphisms associated with the product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, the system of isomorphisms

for the dual system, denoted $\{W_{s,t}\}_{s,t \geq 0}$, are defined by the equation

$$W_{s,t}(\zeta \otimes \eta) = (U_{t,s} \otimes I_H)(I_{E(t)} \otimes \zeta)\eta \quad (18)$$

where $\zeta \in E(s)^\sigma$ and $\eta \in E(t)^\sigma$. The fact that they satisfy (iii) follows from [28, Lemma 3.7].

To verify (iv), fix the following objects: $s \geq 0, \zeta \in E(s)^\sigma, k$ in H, k_0 in H_0 and a Borel section $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $\{E(t)^\sigma\}_{t \geq 0}$. Also, let $\{f_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a defining family of Borel sections for Borel structure on $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. From the fact that $\{U_{s,t}\}_{s,t \geq 0}$ is measurable (in the sense of Definition 4.2 (iv)) we see that for every $\xi \in E(s)$ and $h \in H$, the map $t \mapsto \langle U_{t-s,s}(f_n(t-s) \otimes \xi) \otimes h, v_t k_0 \rangle = \langle (U_{t-s,s} \otimes I_H)(f_n(t-s) \otimes (\xi \otimes h)), v_t k_0 \rangle$ is Borel. Let $\{e_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ be a fixed orthonormal basis for H . Then $\zeta(e_m)$ lies in $E(s) \otimes H$ and, thus the map $t \mapsto \langle (U_{t-s,s} \otimes I_H)(f_n(t-s) \otimes \zeta(e_m)), v_t k_0 \rangle$ is Borel (for all n, m). The latter expression can also be written as $\langle f_n(t-s) \otimes e_m, (I_{E(t-s)} \otimes \zeta^*)(U_{t-s,s}^* \otimes I_H)v_t k_0 \rangle$ and so the map $t \mapsto (I_{E(t-s)} \otimes \zeta^*)(U_{t-s,s}^* \otimes I_H)v_t k_0$ is a Borel section of $\{E(t-s) \otimes H\}_{t \geq 0}$. Since $t \mapsto \eta_{t-s}k$ is also a Borel section, their inner product yields a Borel map. Thus $t \mapsto \langle (U_{t-s,s} \otimes I_H)(I_{E(t-s)} \otimes \zeta)\eta_{t-s}k, v_t k_0 \rangle = \langle v_t^* W_{s,t-s}(\zeta \otimes \eta_{t-s})k, k_0 \rangle$ is a Borel map. This proves the measurability of $l(\zeta)$. The proof of the measurability of $r(\zeta)$ is similar and we omit it. $\square$

It will be convenient to adopt the following terminology and notation which are borrowed from [5].

Definition 4.6 *Let N be a von Neumann algebra.*

- (i) *A CP -semigroup on N is a semigroup $\{\Theta_t : t \geq 0\}$ of contractive, completely positive, normal, linear maps on N such that, for every $a \in M$, the map $t \mapsto \Theta_t(a)$ is ultraweakly continuous.*
- (ii) *A CP_0 -semigroup on N is a CP -semigroup of unital maps.*
- (iii) *An E -semigroup on N is a CP -semigroup of endomorphisms.*
- (iv) *An E_0 -semigroup on N is a CP -semigroup of unital endomorphisms.*

Of course, a CP -semigroup is what we called a quantum Markov semigroup at the outset of this paper.

The following example shows how to get a Borel product system of correspondences over a von Neumann algebra M from an E_0 -semigroup on M .

In the setting of discrete product systems of C^* -correspondences, the algebraic aspects of this product system are due to Fowler [16]. In all but name, it occurs in the context of semigroups of endomorphisms of C^* -algebras in Khoshkam and Skandalis's paper [20]. Skeide, too, discusses it without reference to the Borel structure in [34].

Example 4.7 *Let M be a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H and write σ for the inclusion of M into $B(H)$. Let $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an E -semigroup acting on M and let ${}_{\alpha_t}M$ be the correspondence associated with α_t as in Example 2.2. The family $t \mapsto {}_{\alpha_t}M$ is a product system with multiplication isomorphisms $U_{t,s}(a \otimes b) = \alpha_s(a)b$ for $a \in {}_{\alpha_t}M$ and $b \in {}_{\alpha_s}M$, and it is easy to verify that it is measurable. If we let $E_\alpha(t) = E_{\alpha_t}^\sigma$ be the σ -dual correspondence of ${}_{\alpha_t}M$ as in Example 2.8, it then follows from Theorem 4.5 that $t \mapsto E_\alpha(t)$ is also a measurable product system, with multiplication isomorphisms $W_{s,t}(S \otimes T) = ST$.*

The first part of the next theorem can be deduced from Theorem 3.9. However, since the proof here is simpler and needs no “direct limit arguments”, we prefer to spell it out.

Theorem 4.8 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a product system over M and let $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an isometric covariant representation of it. For every $t > 0$ write α_t for the normal endomorphism of $V_0(M)'$ defined as in equation (12); that is, $\alpha_t(b) = \tilde{V}_t(I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{V}_t^*$ for $b \in V_0(M)'$. Then there is an isomorphism $\gamma = \{\gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of product systems from $\{E_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ onto $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.*

Moreover, if $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an E -semigroup (that is, the map $t \mapsto \alpha_t(b)$ is ultraweakly continuous for every $b \in V_0(M)'$), then $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a measurable product system.

Proof. We write N for $V_0(M)'$. As usual, we shall suppress reference to the identity representation of N . We shall use the isomorphisms constructed in Theorem 2.15, i.e. the W^* -correspondence isomorphisms w_t from $E_\alpha(t) = E_{\alpha_t}^\sigma$ onto $E(t)$. There we proved the equality $L_{w_t(X_t)} = v_t X_t$ (see equation (9)), where $v_t : N \otimes_{\alpha_t} H \rightarrow E(t) \otimes H$ maps $b \otimes h$ to $(I \otimes b)\tilde{V}_t^* h$. Since the maps α_t are endomorphisms, we may identify $N \otimes_{\alpha_t} H$ with H through the unitary map $u_t : N \otimes_{\alpha_t} H \rightarrow H$ sending $b \otimes h$ to $\alpha_t(b)h$. As is indicated at the end of Example 2.8, $E_\alpha(t)$ is then identified with the space of all bounded operators in $B(H)$ that intertwine the identity representation of N and α_t .

Given such an operator Y_t in $B(H)$, we get from equation (9) the equation $L_{w_t(u_t^* Y_t)} = v_t u_t^* Y_t$. But $u_t v_t^* = \tilde{V}_t$ (since $u_t v_t^*(I \otimes b) \tilde{V}_t^* h = u_t(b \otimes h) = \alpha_t(b)h = \tilde{V}_t(I \otimes b) \tilde{V}_t^* h$) and, thus, $L_{w_t(u_t^* Y_t)} = \tilde{V}_t^* Y_t$. Write $\gamma_t(Y_t) := w_t(u_t^* Y_t)$. Then each γ_t is an isomorphism of $E_\alpha(t)$ onto $E(t)$ and we conclude that $L_{\gamma_t(Y_t)} = \tilde{V}_t^* Y_t$. After applying $\tilde{V}_t$, we find that

$$V_t(\gamma_t(Y_t)) = \alpha_t(I)Y_t, \quad Y_t \in E_\alpha(t). \quad (19)$$

For $\xi \in E(t)$, $a \in N$ and $h \in H$, $\alpha_t(a)V_t(\xi)h = \tilde{V}_t(I_{E(t)} \otimes a)\tilde{V}_t^* \tilde{V}_t(\xi \otimes h) = \tilde{V}_t(\xi \otimes ah) = V_t(\xi)ah$. Thus $V_t(\xi)$ lies in $E_\alpha(t)$. Applying equation (19) with $V_t(\xi)$ in place of Y_t (and noting that $\alpha_t(I)V_t(\xi) = V_t(\xi)$), we get $V_t(\gamma_t(V_t(\xi))) = V_t(\xi)$. Since V_t is injective, we have

$$\gamma_t(V_t(\xi)) = \xi, \quad \xi \in E(t). \quad (20)$$

In order to show that $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ gives an isomorphism of product systems, we need to check that, for $Y_t \in E_\alpha(t)$ and $Y_s \in E_\alpha(s)$, we have $\gamma_{t+s}(U_{t,s}^\alpha(Y_t \otimes Y_s)) = U_{t,s}(\gamma_t(Y_t) \otimes \gamma_s(Y_s))$ (where U and U^α denote the “multiplication” maps on $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{E_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ respectively). Recall from Example 4.7 that $U_{t,s}^\alpha(Y_t \otimes Y_s) = Y_t Y_s$. Using equation (19) and the fact that $V_t(\gamma_t(Y_t))$ lies in $E_\alpha(t)$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+s}(\gamma_{t+s}(U_{t,s}^\alpha(Y_t \otimes Y_s))) &= V_{t+s}(\gamma_{t+s}(Y_t Y_s)) = \alpha_{t+s}(I)Y_t Y_s = \alpha_t(\alpha_s(I))\alpha_t(I)Y_t Y_s \\ &= \alpha_t(\alpha_s(I))V_t(\gamma_t(Y_t))Y_s = V_t(\gamma_t(Y_t))V_s(\gamma_s(Y_s)) \\ &= V_{t+s}(U_{t,s}(\gamma_t(Y_t) \otimes \gamma_s(Y_s))). \end{aligned}$$

Applying γ_{t+s} to this equality (and using the equation $\gamma_{t+s}(V_{t+s}(\xi)) = \xi$, $\xi \in E(t+s)$) we get the required result.

If $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an E -semigroup, we already know that $\{E_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a measurable product system (Example 4.7) and we can use $\gamma = \{\gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ to “carry” the Borel structure to $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$; that is, if $\{Y^{(n)}\}$ is a countable family of sections defining the Borel structure in $\{E_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then $\{\gamma(Y^{(n)})\}$ define a Borel structure on $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. $\square$

Our next goal is to show that the product system for a CP -semigroup is measurable. For this purpose, we will use the preceding Theorem, Theorem 4.8, and a dilation result. The dilation result, which we state here, was proved for a fully coisometric covariant representation in [26, Theorem 3.7]. The general case was recently proved in [37, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 4.9 ([26], [37]) *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a product system over a von Neumann algebra M and let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a fully coisometric covariant representation of the product system on a Hilbert space H . Then there is another Hilbert space K , an isometry u_0 mapping H into K , and fully coisometric, isometric covariant representation $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on K such that*

- (1) $u_0^* V_t(\xi) u_0 = T_t(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in E(t), t \geq 0$.
- (2) For all $\xi \in E(t), t \geq 0$, $V_t(\xi)^*$ leaves $u_0(H)$ invariant.
- (3) The smallest subspace of K containing $u_0(H)$ and reducing each $V_t(\xi)$ is all of K .

The following theorem was proved, for the case $M = B(H)$ and the product system involved is a product system of Hilbert spaces, by Markiewicz in [22, Theorem 3.9], using different methods.

Theorem 4.10 *Let $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a CP -semigroup on a von Neumann algebra M and let $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the product system associated to this semigroup as in [26] (see the discussion in the previous section). Then $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a measurable product system.*

Proof. As we briefly described in Section 3, one can associate with the CP -semigroup a product system $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and a representation of this product system on a Hilbert space. (This is the identity representation mentioned in Section 3). We can use Theorem 4.9 to dilate this representation to an isometric representation $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on K . Now we apply Theorem 4.8. $\square$

Definition 4.11 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable product system over M and let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a covariant representation of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space H . Then the representation is said to be measurable if, whenever $t \mapsto \xi_t$ is a Borel section of the product system and $h, k \in H$, the map $t \mapsto \langle T_t(\xi_t)h, k \rangle$ is Borel.*

As we see in the following theorem, such representations (satisfying an additional condition) give rise to CP -semigroups on $T_0(M)'$

Theorem 4.12 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable product system over M and let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable covariant representation of this product system on H . Write Θ_t for the completely positive map on $T_0(M)'$ defined by*

$$\Theta_t(b) = \tilde{T}_t(I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^*. \quad (21)$$

Then $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of normal, contractive, completely positive maps on $T_0(M)'$ and, for every $b \in T_0(M)'$, the map $t \mapsto \Theta_t(b)$ is ultraweakly continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

If, in addition,

$$\cap\{\ker(\Theta_t) | t > 0\} = \{0\}, \quad (22)$$

then the map is also continuous at $t = 0$.

Proof. Everything but the continuity can be found in [26, Theorem 3.10]. So we attend to that. Fix $b \in T_0(M)'$. For every measurable section $t \mapsto \xi_t$ of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and every $h, k \in H$, the map that sends t to $\langle (I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^*h, \xi_t \otimes k \rangle = \langle h, T_t(\xi_t)bk \rangle$ is measurable, since $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a measurable representation. Thus $t \mapsto (I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^*h$ is a Borel section of $\{E(t) \otimes H\}_{t \geq 0}$ and so is $t \mapsto \tilde{T}_t^*k$. Forming the inner product, we conclude that the map $t \mapsto \langle \Theta_t(b)h, k \rangle = \langle (I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^*h, \tilde{T}_t^*k \rangle$ is measurable. Write $\mathcal{R}$ for $T_0(M)'$. Then it follows that the function $t \mapsto \langle \omega, \Theta_t(b) \rangle$ is measurable for every $b \in \mathcal{R}$ and every $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_*$ (where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_*$). Since each Θ_t is normal, we can write Ψ_t for the pre-adjoint of Θ_t , i.e. $\Psi_t(\omega) = \omega \circ \Theta_t$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_*$.

Since $\mathcal{R}_*$ is separable, [18, Theorem 3.5.3] implies that $t \mapsto \Psi_t(\omega)$ is strongly measurable as an $\mathcal{R}_*$ -valued function. Thus, in the terminology of [18, Chap. 10], $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly measurable semigroup of linear maps on $\mathcal{R}_*$. But then, [18, Theorem 10.2.3] shows that $t \mapsto \Psi_t$ is strongly continuous on $(0, \infty)$; i.e., for each $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_*$, the $\mathcal{R}_*$ -valued function on $(0, \infty)$, $t \mapsto \Psi_t(\omega) = \omega \circ \Theta_t$, is continuous with respect to the norm topology on $\mathcal{R}_*$. This proves the required continuity on $(0, \infty)$.

To prove the continuity at $t = 0$, assuming condition (22), we write $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_*$ for the closed linear span $\vee\{\Psi_t(\mathcal{R}_*) | t > 0\}$ and note that, if $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_* \neq \mathcal{R}_*$, there is a non zero operator R in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\langle \omega, R \rangle = 0$ for all $\omega \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_*$. This means that, for all $t > 0$ and all $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_*$, $\langle \omega, \Theta_t(R) \rangle = \langle \Psi_t(\omega), R \rangle = 0$. Thus R lies in the kernels of all Θ_t , $t > 0$, contradicting condition (22). It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_* = \mathcal{R}_*$. We can now appeal to [18, Theorem 10.5.5] to conclude that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \|\Psi_t(\omega) - \omega\| = 0$. Consequently, for all $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_*$ and $R \in \mathcal{R}$ we see

that $\langle \omega, \Theta_t(R) \rangle = \langle \Psi_t(\omega), R \rangle \rightarrow \langle \omega, R \rangle$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$, which is what we wanted to prove. $\square$

Remark 4.13 *Note that the arguments of the proof of the theorem show that, if $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a CP -semigroup and $(\Theta_t)_*$ is the pre-adjoint of Θ_t , then the map $t \mapsto (\Theta_t)_*(\omega) = \omega \circ \Theta_t$ is norm continuous for all ω in the predual.*

5 Subordinate CP -semigroups

As an application of our analysis of measurable product systems and their relation to CP -semigroups, we want to study the notion of “subordination” for these semigroups. Our analysis rests on a result of Arveson [2, Theorem 1.4.2]. In order to state it, we require some notation. Given a C^* -algebra B and a Hilbert space H , we write $CP(B, H)$ for the set of all completely positive linear maps from B into $B(H)$. There is a natural partial ordering on this set defined by $\psi \leq \phi$ if $\phi - \psi$ is completely positive and, for $\phi \in CP(B, H)$, we write $[0, \phi] := \{\psi \in CP(B, H) : \psi \leq \phi\}$. Given $\phi \in CP(B, H)$, we write $\phi(b) = V^*\pi(b)V$ for the unique (up to unitary equivalence) minimal Stinespring dilation of ϕ , where π is the Stinespring representation of B on the Hilbert space K , V is the contractive Stinespring “embedding” of H into K , and where K is spanned by $\pi(B)V(H)$. For every $T \in B(K)$ that commutes with the image of π we write ϕ_T for the map $\phi_T(b) = V^*TV(b)V$. Then we have the following

Proposition 5.1 ([2]) *The map $T \mapsto \phi_T$ is an affine order isomorphism of the partially ordered convex set of operators $\{T \in \pi(B)' : 0 \leq T \leq I\}$ onto $[0, \phi]$.*

Definition 5.2 *Let Θ and Ψ be two normal, contractive, completely positive maps on the von Neumann algebra N . We say that Ψ is subordinate to Θ (and write $\Psi \leq \Theta$) if $\Theta - \Psi$ is completely positive.*

Similarly, if $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ are cp -semigroups on N , then we say that $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is subordinate to $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ if $\Psi_t \leq \Theta_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

We shall adapt Proposition 5.1 to deal with normal completely positive maps on a given von Neumann algebra N , mapping N into itself. Our completely positive maps are associated with covariant representations of W^* -correspondences. So let E be such a correspondence (over the von Neumann

algebra M , say) and let (T, σ) be a covariant representation of E with faithful σ . The resulting completely positive map is $\Theta(b) = \tilde{T}(I_E \otimes b)\tilde{T}^*$ for $b \in \sigma(M)'$.

Write N for $\sigma(M)'$, recall that the Stinespring representation space K is $N \otimes_{\sigma \circ \Theta} H$ and that, in the proof of Theorem 2.15, we considered the isometry v mapping $K = N \otimes_{\sigma \circ \Theta} H$ onto the subspace $L = [(I \otimes N)\tilde{T}^*H]$ of $E \otimes_{\sigma} H$ (by mapping $b \otimes h$ to $(b \otimes I)\tilde{T}^*h$). Recall also, from Lemma 2.11, that there is a projection $q_T \in \text{End}(E)$ such that L is the range of $q_T \otimes I_H$.

Using v to carry the Stinespring representation π from K to L , we get a representation τ on L defined by $\tau(x)(I \otimes y)\tilde{T}^*h = (I \otimes xy)\tilde{T}^*h$ for $x, y \in \sigma(M)'$, $h \in H$. Since the commutant of $I \otimes \sigma(M)'$ (in $B(E \otimes H)$) is $\mathcal{L}(E) \otimes I$ (Lemma 2.4), the commutant of the image of τ (in $B(L)$) is the algebra $q_T \mathcal{L}(E) q_T \otimes I_H$. It follows from Proposition 5.1 that there is an order isomorphism between the completely positive maps $\phi : \sigma(M)' \rightarrow B(H)$ with $\phi \leq \Theta$ and positive contractive operators in $q_T \mathcal{L}(E) q_T \otimes I_H$. In fact, if $c \in q_T \mathcal{L}(E) q_T$ then the associated completely positive map is $\Theta_c(x) = \tilde{T}(c \otimes x)\tilde{T}^*$.

It follows from this expression that every such map is automatically a normal map. In general, the image of Θ_c is not contained in $\sigma(M)'$. To see when it is contained there, fix $a \in M, x \in \sigma(M)'$ and c as above and compute.

$$\Theta_c(x)\sigma(a) = \tilde{T}(c \otimes x)\tilde{T}^*\sigma(a) = \tilde{T}(c \otimes x)(\varphi(a) \otimes I)\tilde{T}^* = \tilde{T}(c\varphi(a) \otimes x)\tilde{T}^* \quad (23)$$

and, similarly,

$$\sigma(a)\Theta_c(x) = \tilde{T}(\varphi(a)c \otimes x)\tilde{T}^*. \quad (24)$$

It follows that the image of Θ_c is contained in $\sigma(M)'$ if and only if $c \in q_T(\text{End}(E))q_T$. Summarizing this discussion, we have the following.

Proposition 5.3 *Let Θ be the normal contractive completely positive map associated to a covariant representation (T, σ) (with faithful σ) of the W^* -correspondence E over M . Then there is an order isomorphism $c \mapsto \Theta_c$ from the set of all operators $c \in q_T(\text{End}(E))q_T$ that satisfy $0 \leq c \leq I$ onto the set of all normal contractive completely positive maps on $\sigma(M)'$ that are subordinate to Θ .*

Given c the map Θ_c is written

$$\Theta_c(x) = \tilde{T}(c \otimes x)\tilde{T}^*, \quad x \in \sigma(M)'.$$

Corollary 5.4 (1) *Let E be a W^* -correspondence over a von Neumann algebra M and let (T, σ) and (S, ρ) be two covariant representations of E with faithful σ and ρ . Write q_T and q_S for the support projections of these representations in $\text{End}(E)$ defined through equation (4). Also, write Θ_T and Θ_S for the completely positive maps associated with the representations.*

If $q_T = q_S$ then there is an order isomorphism between the normal, completely positive, maps of $\sigma(M)'$ that are subordinate to Θ_T and the normal completely positive maps of $\rho(M)'$ that are subordinate to Θ_S .

- (2) *Suppose Θ is a normal, unital, completely positive map of a von Neumann algebra N and let α be its minimal endomorphic dilation acting on the von Neumann algebra R . Then there is an order isomorphism between the normal completely positive maps on N subordinate to Θ and those that are subordinate to α on R .*

Proof. Part (1) follows immediately from Proposition 5.3. For part (2), recall the construction of α from [26]. Given such Θ , we fix a Hilbert space H on which N acts and we define the correspondence E_Θ as in (3). E_Θ is a correspondence over N' . We write σ for the identity representation of N' on H and define $T : E_\Theta \rightarrow B(H)$ by $T(\eta) = W^*\eta$ where $W : H \rightarrow N \otimes_\Theta H$ is the operator mapping $h \in H$ to $I \otimes h$. The pair (T, σ) is then a fully coisometric covariant representation of E_Θ on H (referred to as the identity representation). Applying [25, Corollary 5.21] (or [26, Theorem 2.18]), we can dilate (T, σ) to a covariant representation (V, ρ) on a Hilbert space K that is both isometric and fully coisometric. Writing $\alpha(S) = \tilde{V}(I_{E_\Theta} \otimes S)\tilde{V}^*$ we get a unital endomorphism of $\rho(N')'$ that dilates Θ . This is the minimal endomorphic dilation of Θ .

The proof of (2) will be complete (using part (1)) once we show that $q_T = q_V$. Since (V, ρ) is isometric it is clear that $q_V = I$. The fact that $q_T = I$ was proved in Lemma 2.13. Thus $q_V = q_T = I$. $\square$

The referee has kindly noted that Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 may be derived from Paschke's Proposition 5.4 in [29] using duality.

Definition 5.5 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ a measurable product system over a von Neumann algebra M , let $\{U_{s,t}\}_{s,t \geq 0}$ be the family of multiplication maps that identify $E(s+t)$ with $E(s) \otimes E(t)$, $s, t \geq 0$ and let $q = \{q_t\}$ be a family projections such that q_t lies in $\text{End}(E(t))$ for each t , and such that for every*

$s, t, q_{s+t} \leq U_{t,s}(q_t \otimes q_s)U_{t,s}^*$. A family $c = \{c_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of sections of $\{\mathcal{L}(E(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ will be called a reduced cocycle for the product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ (relative to q) if c satisfies

(Cq1) For each $t \geq 0$, $c_t \in q_t(\text{End}(E(t)))q_t$ and $0 \leq c_t \leq I$.

(Cq2) $c_0 = I$ and, for $t, s \geq 0$, $c_{t+s} = q_{t+s}U_{s,t}(c_s \otimes c_t)U_{t,s}^*q_{t+s}$.

(Cq3) c is a Borel section of the Borel family $\{\mathcal{L}(E(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$.

(Cq4) For every $s > 0$ and every $\xi, \zeta \in q_s E(s)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle U_{(s-t),t}(I_{E(s-t)} \otimes c_t)\xi, \zeta \rangle = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle$ in the ultraweak topology of M .

For a given family q , as above, the collection of all reduced cocycles for the product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ will be denoted $\mathcal{C}_q(E)$.

If $q_t = I_{E(t)}$ for every t , then we call c simply a cocycle for $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and we will write $\mathcal{C}(E)$ for the collection of all cocycles for $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Very often we will omit the multiplication maps and simply write $c_{t+s} = c_s \otimes c_t$. We note, too, that the notion of a (reduced) cocycle for a product system is different from the more familiar notion of a cocycle for a semigroup. However, as we shall see in Proposition 5.9, there is a relation between the two notions.

As we have seen in our construction of a product system from a cp -semigroup, families of projections $q = \{q_t\}$ satisfying the hypothesis $q_{t+s} \leq U_{t,s}(q_t \otimes q_s)U_{t,s}^*$ for all $s, t \geq 0$ in Definition 5.5 arise as the support projections of a representation. This will play an important role in what follows. To support our computations, we begin with a lemma.

Lemma 5.6 *Let E and F be two W^* -correspondences over a von Neumann algebra M and let (T, σ) and (S, σ) be covariant representations of E and F , respectively, on H , where σ is assumed to be faithful. Let (R, σ) be the representation of $E \otimes F$ defined by the formula $R(\xi \otimes \zeta) = T(\xi)S(\zeta)$ for $\xi \in E, \zeta \in F$ and described in Lemma 3.4. Write Θ_T, Θ_S and Θ_R for the corresponding completely positive maps on $\sigma(M)'$. Suppose Ψ and Φ are normal, completely positive maps on $\sigma(M)'$ such that $\Psi \leq \Theta_T$ and $\Phi \leq \Theta_S$, and let $c_1 \in \text{End}(E)$ and $c_2 \in \text{End}(F)$ be the positive elements such that $\Psi = (\Theta_T)_{c_1}$ and $\Phi = (\Theta_S)_{c_2}$. Then $\Psi \circ \Phi = \Theta_c$ where $c = q_R(c_1 \otimes c_2)q_R$, where q_R is the support projection of R .*

Proof. The proof is a computation: $\Psi \circ \Phi(b) = \Psi(\tilde{S}(c_1 \otimes b)\tilde{S}^*) = \tilde{T}(c_2 \otimes (\tilde{S}(c_1 \otimes b)\tilde{S}^*))\tilde{T}^* = \tilde{R}(c_1 \otimes c_2 \otimes b)\tilde{R}^* = \tilde{R}(q_R(c_1 \otimes c_2)q_R \otimes b)\tilde{R}^*$, for $b \in \sigma(M)'$. $\square$

Theorem 5.7 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable product system and let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable covariant representation of it. Write $q = \{q_t\}$ where $q_t = q_{T_t}$ is the support projection of T_t for every t , and suppose that the semigroup $\Theta = \{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of completely positive maps of $T_0(M)'$ induced by $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ (defined via equation (12)) is a CP -semigroup. Then there is an order isomorphism $c \mapsto \Theta_c$ from the set $\mathcal{C}_q(E)$ of reduced cocycles for $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, relative to q , onto the set of all CP -semigroups $\Psi = \{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $T_0(M)'$ that are subordinate to Θ .*

The semigroup associated to $c \in \mathcal{C}_q(E)$ is given by the equation

$$(\Theta_c)_t(b) = \tilde{T}_t(c_t \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^* \quad (25)$$

for $b \in T_0(M)'$.

Proof. Suppose $c = \{c_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is in $\mathcal{C}_q(E)$, let H be the Hilbert space of $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, and write

$$\Psi_t(b) = \tilde{T}_t(c_t \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^* \quad (26)$$

for $b \in T_0(M)'$. Then it follows from Proposition 5.3 that for every $t \geq 0$, Ψ_t is a normal, completely positive map on $T_0(M)'$ that is subordinate to Θ_t . For $t, s \geq 0$ and $b \in T_0(M)'$, $\Psi_s \circ \Psi_t(b) = \tilde{T}_s(c_s \otimes (\tilde{T}_t(c_t \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^*))\tilde{T}_s^* = \tilde{T}_s(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}_t)(c_s \otimes c_t \otimes b)(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}_t^*)\tilde{T}_s^* = \tilde{T}_{s+t}(q_{s+t} \otimes I)(c_s \otimes c_t \otimes b)(q_{s+t} \otimes I)\tilde{T}_{s+t}^* = \tilde{T}_{s+t}(c_{s+t} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_{s+t}^* = \Psi_{s+t}(b)$. (Note that, for every t , $\tilde{T}_t = \tilde{T}_t(q_t \otimes I_H)$). Thus $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup.

Define $S_t : E(t) \rightarrow B(H)$ for $t \geq 0$ by setting $S_t(\xi) := T_t(c_t^{1/2}\xi)$. Then $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a covariant representation of the product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on H . For h, k in H and a Borel section $t \mapsto \xi_t$ of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, the map $t \mapsto \langle S_t(\xi_t)h, k \rangle = \langle T_t(c_t^{1/2}\xi_t)h, k \rangle$ is Borel because c satisfies (Cq3). Thus $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a measurable representation. It then follows from Theorem 4.12 that for $b \in T_0(M)'$, the map $t \mapsto \Psi_t(b)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$. To show continuity at $t = 0$ we need to show that $\cap\{ker(\Psi_t) | t > 0\} = \{0\}$.

For that we first fix $0 \leq t \leq s$ and $b \in T_0(M)'$ and compute:

$$\Theta_{s-t} \circ \Psi_t(b) = \tilde{T}_{s-t}(I_{E(s-t)} \otimes (\tilde{T}_t(c_t \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^*))\tilde{T}_{s-t}^* \quad (27)$$

$$= \tilde{T}_s(q_s \otimes I)(I_{E(s-t)} \otimes c_t \otimes b)(q_s \otimes I)\tilde{T}_s^*. \quad (28)$$

For $h, k \in H$ and $\xi, \zeta \in q_s E(s)$, $\langle (I_{E(s-t)} \otimes c_t \otimes b)(\xi \otimes h), \zeta \otimes k \rangle = \langle bh, T_0(\langle (I_{E(s-t)} \otimes c_t) \xi, \zeta \rangle) k \rangle$ and, using (Cq4), this expression tends to $\langle (I_{E(s)} \otimes b)(\xi \otimes h), \zeta \otimes k \rangle$ as t tends to 0. Combining this with equation (27), we see that, for $b \in T_0(M)'$ and $s > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Theta_{s-t}(\Psi_t(b)) = \Theta_s(b) \quad (29)$$

ultraweakly. Fix $b \in \cap \{ker(\Psi_t) | t > 0\}$ and $s > 0$. It follows from equation (29) that $b \in ker(\Theta_s)$. Since this holds for all $s > 0$, we conclude that $b \in \cap \{ker(\Theta_t) | t > 0\}$. But, from the continuity of Θ_t it follows that the intersection is just $\{0\}$. This proves that $\cap \{ker(\Psi_t) | t > 0\} = \{0\}$ and, using Theorem 4.12, we find that $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a CP -semigroup that is subordinate to $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$.

For the converse, let $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a CP -semigroup that is subordinate to $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then by Proposition 5.3 there is a unique family $c = \{c_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying (Cq1) such that Ψ_t is of the form (26). It follows from Lemma 3.8 (iii) (and the uniqueness of the c_t 's) that, for $s, t \geq 0$, $c_{s+t} = q_{s+t}(c_s \otimes c_t)q_{s+t}$. It is left to prove (Cq3) and (Cq4).

Fix $a, b \in T_0(M)'$ and $h, k \in H$. Then, for $t \geq 0$, $\langle (c_t \otimes I_H)(I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^* h, (I_{E(t)} \otimes a)\tilde{T}_t^* \rangle = \langle \Psi_t(a^* b)h, k \rangle$. It results from the continuity properties of $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ that this expression is a continuous function of t (on $[0, \infty)$). Since q_t is the support projection of T_t , the vectors of the form $(I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_t^* h$, $b \in T_0(M)'$ and $h \in H$, span a dense subspace of $q_t E(t) \otimes H$. Thus the map $t \mapsto c_t \otimes I_H = q_t c_t q_t \otimes I_H$ is a Borel section of $\{B(E(t) \otimes H)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Fix Borel sections $\{\xi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\zeta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then for every $h, k \in H$, the map $t \mapsto \langle (c_t \otimes I_H)(\xi_t \otimes h), \zeta_t \otimes k \rangle = \langle h, T_0(\langle c_t \xi_t, \zeta_t \rangle) k \rangle$ is a Borel map and (Cq3) follows.

Since both $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ are CP -semigroups, it follows from Remark 4.13 (and the fact that these maps are contractive) that, for every $\omega \in (T_0(M)')_*$ and $s > 0$, we have $(\Psi_t)_*((\Theta_{s-t})_*(\omega)) \rightarrow (\Theta_s)_*(\omega)$ in norm as $t \rightarrow 0$. Using equation (27), we find that, for every $b, a \in T_0(M)'$, $s > 0$ and $h, k \in H$, $\langle \tilde{T}_s(q_s \otimes I)(I_{E(s-t)} \otimes c_t \otimes a^* b)(q_s \otimes I)\tilde{T}_s^* h, k \rangle \rightarrow \langle \tilde{T}_s(I \otimes a^* b)\tilde{T}_s^* h, k \rangle$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\langle (I_{E(s-t)} \otimes c_t \otimes I)(I_{E(s)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_s^* h, (I_{E(s)} \otimes a)\tilde{T}_s^* k \rangle \rightarrow \langle (I_{E(s)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_s^* h, (I_{E(s)} \otimes a)\tilde{T}_s^* k \rangle$. Since vectors of the form $(I_{E(s)} \otimes b)\tilde{T}_s^* h$ span a dense subspace of $q_s E(s) \otimes H$, it follows that $q_s(I_{E(s-t)} \otimes c_t)q_s \otimes I_H \rightarrow q_s \otimes I_H$ in the weak operator topology on $B(E(s) \otimes H)$. Consequently, we have verified (Cq4). $\square$

The referee notes that the algebraic aspects of Theorem 5.7 may be proved, using duality, from [10, Theorem 14.3] or [7, Theorem A.3].

Definition 5.8 Let M be a von Neumann algebra and let $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an E -semigroup of endomorphisms of M . A (left) cocycle for α is a family of operators $\{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in M such that as a function from $[0, \infty)$ to M , $\{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous and such that $C_{t+s} = C_s \alpha_s(C_t)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$, with $C_0 = I$. A cocycle $\{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called local if C_t commutes with $\alpha_t(M)$ for all $t \geq 0$. We say that $\{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is positive and contractive if each of the C_t is a positive contraction operator.

Of course right cocycles may be defined similarly, but a local left cocycle is the same as a local right cocycle. The connection between cocycles for product systems and (local) cocycles for E -semigroups is made in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.9 Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an E -semigroup of endomorphisms of a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H . Suppose that α is given by an isometric representation $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on H of a measurable product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ over M' through the equation

$$\alpha_t(S) = \tilde{V}_t(I_{E(t)} \otimes S) \tilde{V}_t^*,$$

$S \in M$. Then for every cocycle for $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, $c = \{c_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{C}(E)$, $C = \{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, defined by the formula

$$C_t := \tilde{V}_t(c_t \otimes I) \tilde{V}_t^*, \quad (30)$$

$t \geq 0$, is a positive, contractive local cocycle for α on M . Conversely, every positive, contractive local cocycle for α on M , $\{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, is given by equation (30) for a unique cocycle $c = \{c_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{C}(E)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, an element $c = \{c_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{C}(E)$ gives rise to a local cocycle for α , $C = \{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, via equation (30). The algebraic properties of C are easy to verify on the basis of this definition. The only possible difficulty is showing that C is continuous. However, observe that for $S \in M$, $C_t \alpha_t(S) = \tilde{V}_t(c_t \otimes I) \tilde{V}_t^* \tilde{V}_t(I_{E(t)} \otimes S) \tilde{V}_t^* = \tilde{V}_t(c_t \otimes S) \tilde{V}_t^*$, i.e., $\{C_t \alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the CP -semigroup that is subordinate to α determined by c and therefore is ultraweakly continuous. Since $\{C_t \alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is contractive, ultraweak continuity is the same as continuity in the weak operator topology since the weak and ultraweak topologies agree on bounded sets. Thus for each $S \in M$, $t \rightarrow C_t \alpha_t(S)$ is a weakly continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ that converges to S

weakly as $t \rightarrow 0+$. By [26, Proposition 4.1(1)], we conclude that $t \rightarrow C_t \alpha_t(S)$ is strongly continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for each $S \in M$. Thus, in particular for $S = I$, we see that $t \rightarrow C_t \alpha_t(I) = \tilde{V}_t(c_t \otimes I) \tilde{V}_t^* (\tilde{V}_t \tilde{V}_t^*) = C_t$ is strongly continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Conversely, if $C = \{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a local cocycle, then $\{C_t \alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a CP -semigroup that is subordinate to α . Indeed, the fact that $\{C_t \alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a CP -semigroup is an easy calculation; the fact that $\{C_t \alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is subordinate to α is simply the observation that for all $t \geq 0$, $\alpha_t(\cdot) - C_t \alpha_t(\cdot) = (I - C_t) \alpha_t(\cdot) = (I - C_t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_t(\cdot) (I - C_t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which is clearly completely positive. Thus, by Theorem 5.7 there is a unique $c \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ such that $C_t \alpha_t(S) = \tilde{V}_t(c_t \otimes S) \tilde{V}_t^*$ for all $S \in M$. Letting $S = I$ completes the proof. $\square$

The referee has observed that a purely algebraic form of Proposition 5.9 may be deduced from [10, Lemma 7.5] and [7, Theorem 4.4.8].

Note that for a given family of projections $q = \{q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 5.5, the cocycles for a product system $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{C}_q(E)$, do not depend upon any particular representation $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. On the other hand, any representation $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ determines a family $q = \{q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and then $\mathcal{C}_q(E)$ depends only on the product system and the spaces $\{Ker(T_t)\}$. Consequently, we have the following

Corollary 5.10 *Let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a measurable product system and let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be two measurable representations such that for each $t \geq 0$ the support projection of T_t , q_{T_t} , equals the support projection of S_t , q_{S_t} , and such that the semigroups Θ^T and Θ^S associated with $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, as in equation (12), are CP -semigroups. Then there is an order isomorphism between the set consisting of those CP -semigroups that are subordinate to Θ^T and the set consisting of those that are subordinate to Θ^S .*

Using the analysis of [26] and the uniqueness of the minimal endomorphic dilation ([5, Section 8.9 ff.]), we can now prove

Corollary 5.11 *Let Θ be CP_0 -semigroup on a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra N , acting on the Hilbert space H , let α be its minimal endomorphic dilation acting on the von Neumann algebra R , which is contained in $B(K)$, and let W be the embedding of H into K . Then there is an order isomorphism between the CP -semigroups subordinate to Θ and those that are subordinate to α . Further, if Ψ is subordinate to α , then its compression to H is subordinate to Θ .*

Proof. Associated with Θ , we get a product system E_Θ as described in equation (15) and the discussion preceding it. We also get a fully coisometric representation $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of E_Θ (called the identity representation in [26, Theorem 3.9]). Using Theorem 4.9, we dilate the identity representation to a representation $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ that is both isometric and fully coisometric. The minimal endomorphic dilation of Θ is then defined by setting $\alpha_t(b) = \tilde{V}_t(I_{E(t)} \otimes b)\tilde{V}_t^*$ for $b \in V_0(M)'$ (where $M = T_0(N)'$). Since $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is isometric and fully coisometric, the order interval of subordinates of $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is order isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(E_\Theta)$ by Theorem 5.7. Also by this theorem, the order interval of subordinates of Θ is order isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_q(E_\Theta)$, where $q = \{q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the family of support projections of $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. So, to complete the proof, we have to establish an order isomorphism Γ from $\mathcal{C}(E_\Theta)$ onto $\mathcal{C}_q(E_\Theta)$. We simply define

$$\Gamma(c) = qcq$$

where $qcq := \{q_t c_t q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. It is easy to check that Γ maps $\mathcal{C}(E_\Theta)$ into $\mathcal{C}_q(E_\Theta)$ and preserves the order. What we need to prove is that it is injective and surjective; that is, we need to show that given $c \in \mathcal{C}_q(E_\Theta)$, there is a unique $c' \in \mathcal{C}(E_\Theta)$ such that $qc'q = c$. So fix $c \in \mathcal{C}_q(E_\Theta)$. For every partition $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t\}$ of $[0, t]$ we define

$$c_{\mathcal{P},t} = c_{t_1} \otimes c_{t_2-t_1} \otimes \dots \otimes c_{t-t_{n-1}}$$

and, similarly, we define

$$q_{\mathcal{P},t} = q_{t_1} \otimes q_{t_2-t_1} \otimes \dots \otimes q_{t-t_{n-1}}.$$

It follows from (Cq1) and (Cq2) that

$$c_{\mathcal{P},t} = q_{\mathcal{P},t} c_{\mathcal{P},t} q_{\mathcal{P},t}.$$

It also follows from (Cq1) and (Cq2) that if $\mathcal{P}'$ refines $\mathcal{P}$, then

$$c_{\mathcal{P},t} = q_{\mathcal{P},t} c_{\mathcal{P}',t} q_{\mathcal{P},t}. \quad (31)$$

Comparing [26, Lemma 4.3(2)] with the definition of q_t as the support projection of T_t , we see that q_t is the projection from $E_\Theta(t)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_t$. Thus, for every partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $[0, t]$, $q_{\mathcal{P},t}$ is the projection onto $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}, t)$ and it follows from equation (15) that $q_{\mathcal{P},t}$ converges (σ -weakly) to $I_{E_\Theta(t)}$. It

now follows from equation (31) that there is a unique positive contraction $c'_t \in \mathcal{L}(E_\Theta(t)) \cap \varphi_t(M)'$ that satisfies

$$c_{\mathcal{P},t} = q_{\mathcal{P},t} c'_t q_{\mathcal{P},t}.$$

for all partitions. In particular, $q_t c'_t q_t = c_t$; that is $\Gamma(c') = c$. It is straightforward to check that c' lies in $\mathcal{C}(E_\Theta)$. If $d \in \mathcal{C}(E_\Theta)$ also satisfies $q_t d q_t = c_t$, then $q_{\mathcal{P},t} d q_{\mathcal{P},t} = c_{\mathcal{P},t} = q_{\mathcal{P},t} c'_t q_{\mathcal{P},t}$ for all partitions of $[0, t]$. Taking the limit over all partitions, we find that $d_t = c'_t$, completing the proof of the first assertion.

For the second, simply note that if Ψ is subordinate to α , so that it is given by the formula

$$\Psi_t(b) = \tilde{V}_t(c_t \otimes b) \tilde{V}_t^*,$$

$b \in R$, then the formula

$$\begin{aligned} a \rightarrow W^* \Psi_t(W a W^*) W &= W^* \tilde{V}_t(I \otimes W)(c_t \otimes a)(I \otimes W^*) \tilde{V}_t^* W \\ &= \tilde{T}_t(c_t \otimes a) \tilde{T}_t^* = \tilde{T}_t(q_t c_t q_t \otimes a) \tilde{T}_t^*, \end{aligned}$$

$a \in N$, defines a CP -semigroup that is subordinate to Θ . $\square$

As we mentioned in the introduction, this result improves upon a theorem of Bhat and Skeide [10, Theorem 14.3] and on theorems of Bhat [8, Section 5] and Powers [32, Theorems 3.4 and 3.5].

Finally, it is interesting to note the following result, which is related to [9, Theorem 4.3] and which sharpens Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 5.12 *Let N be a von Neumann algebra represented faithfully on a Hilbert space H , and let $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an E -semigroup acting on N . Let $E = \{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the product system for α and let $\Theta = \{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a CP -semigroup that is subordinate to α . If $c \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ is the cocycle guaranteed by Theorem 5.7 such that $\Theta = \alpha_c$ and if q_t is the projection onto the closure of the range of $c_t^{1/2}$, then $q_{t+s} = q_t \otimes q_s$ for all $t, s \geq 0$ and the product system $\{E_\Theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is isomorphic to the product system $\{q_t E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.*

Proof. Let $V = \{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the isometric representation of $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ that gives rise to $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. Applying Theorem 5.7, we see that c , V and Θ are related by the equation

$$\Theta_t(a) = \tilde{V}_t(c_t \otimes a) \tilde{V}_t^*,$$

which is valid for all $a \in N$ and $t \geq 0$. (Note that since each V_t is injective, c is assumed to be a cocycle of the product system and not a reduced cocycle.) Hence $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the semigroup associated with the covariant representation $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ where $T_t := V_t \circ c_t^{1/2}$. Using equation (4), we find that the support projection of T_t , q_{T_t} , satisfies the equation

$$q_{T_t} E(t) \otimes H = [(I_{E(t)} \otimes N)(c_t^{1/2} \otimes I) \tilde{V}_t^* H] = \overline{(c_t^{1/2} \otimes I)(E(t) \otimes H)}. \quad (32)$$

Thus q_{T_t} is the projection onto $\overline{c_t^{1/2} E(t)}$, i.e., $q_{T_t} = q_t$. Since $c_{t+s} = c_t \otimes c_s$, we have $q_{t+s} = q_t \otimes q_s$ and we may apply Theorem 3.9 to complete the proof. $\square$

Theorem 5.12 has the following immediate corollary that we promised in Remark 3.6.

Corollary 5.13 *If $\Theta = \{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a CP -semigroup on a von Neumann algebra N that is subordinate to an E -semigroup, then the Arveson-Stinespring correspondences $\{\mathcal{E}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ associated with Θ form a multiplicative family, i.e., $\mathcal{E}_{t+s} \simeq \mathcal{E}_t \otimes \mathcal{E}_s$, for all $s, t \geq 0$.*

References

- [1] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *On completely positive maps defined by an irreducible correspondence*, Canad. Math. Bull. 33 (1990), 434-441.
- [2] W.B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Acta Math. 123 (1969), 141-224.
- [3] W.B. Arveson, *Continuous analogues of Fock space*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1989).
- [4] W. B. Arveson, *The index of a quantum dynamical semigroup*, J. Functional Anal. 146 (1997), 557-588.
- [5] W.B. Arveson, *Noncommutative Dynamics and E -Semigroups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [6] M. Baillet, Y. Denizeau and J.-F. Havet, *Indice d'une esperance conditionnelle*, Comp. Math. 66 (1988), 199-236.

- [7] S. Barreto, B. V. R. Bhat, V. Liebscher and M. Skeide, *Type I product systems of Hilbert modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), 121–181.
- [8] B. V. R. Bhat, *Cocycles of CCR flows*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2001), no. 709, x+114 pp.
- [9] B. V. R. Bhat, *Minimal isometric dilations of operator cocycles*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **42** (2002), 125–141.
- [10] B. V. R. Bhat and M. Skiede, *Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups*, Inf. Dim. Anal. Quantum Prob. and Rel. Topics **3** (2000), 519–575.
- [11] L. Brown, P. Green and M. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 349–363.
- [12] J. Dixmier, *Von Neumann algebras*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, North-Holland, 1981.
- [13] E.G. Effros, *The Borel structure of von Neumann algebras on a separable Hilbert space*, Pac. J. Math. **15** (1965), 1153–1164.
- [14] D. Evans and Y. Kawahigashi, *Quantum symmetries on operator algebras*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. xvi+829 pp.
- [15] J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran, *Representations of $*$ -algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach $*$ -algebraic bundles*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 125 and 126. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [16] N. Fowler, *Discrete product systems of Hilbert bimodules*, Pacific J. Math. **204** (2002), 335–375.
- [17] P. Ghez, R. Lima, and J. Roberts, *W^* -categories*, Pacific J. Math. **120** (1985), 79–109.
- [18] E. Hille and R. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Third printing of the revised edition of 1957, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXXI. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.

- [19] I. Hirshberg, *C*-Algebras of Hilbert module product systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **570** (2004), 131-142.
- [20] M. Khoshkam and G. Skandalis, *Toeplitz algebras associated with endomorphisms and Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequences*, Pacific J. Math. **181** (1997), 315–331.
- [21] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C*-modules, A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes series **210** (1995). Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [22] D. Markiewicz, *On the product system of a completely positive semigroup*, J. Funct. Anal. **200** (2003), 237-280.
- [23] J. Mingo, *The correspondence associated to an inner completely positive map*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), 121-135.
- [24] P.S. Muhly, *Bundles over groupoids* in Groupoids in analysis, geometry, and physics (Boulder, CO, 1999), 67–82, Contemp. Math., **282**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [25] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, *Tensor algebras over C*-correspondences : Representations, dilations and C*-envelopes*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 389-457.
- [26] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, *Quantum Markov processes (correspondences and dilations)*, Int. J. of Math. **13**(2002), 863-906.
- [27] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, *The curvature and index of completely positive maps*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **87** (2003), 748-778.
- [28] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, *Hardy algebras, W*-correspondences and interpolation theory*, Math. Annalen **330** (2004), 353–415.
- [29] W.L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443-468.
- [30] S. Popa, *Correspondences*, Preprint (1986).
- [31] R. T. Powers, *An index theory for semigroups of *-endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ and type II₁ factors*, Can. J. Math. **40** (1988), 86–114.

- [32] R.T. Powers, *Continuous spatial semigroups of completely positive maps of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$* , New York J. Math. 9 (2003), 165-269.
- [33] M.A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of C^* -algebras*, Adv. in Math. 13 (1974), 176-257.
- [34] M. Skeide, *Commutants of von Neumann modules, representations of $\mathfrak{B}^a(E)$ and other topics related to product systems of Hilbert modules*, Advances in quantum dynamics (South Hadley, MA, 2002), 253–262, Contemp. Math., **335**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [35] M. Skeide, *Dilation theory and continuous tensor product systems of Hilbert modules*, in *Quantum probability and infinite dimensional analysis (Burg, 2001)*, 215–242, QP–PQ: Quantum Probab. White Noise Anal., 15, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [36] M. Skeide, *von Neumann Modules, Intertwiners and Self-Duality*, J. Operator Theory **54** (2005), 119–124.
- [37] M. Skeide, *Isometric dilations of representations of product systems via commutants*, Preprint, ArXiv:math.OA/0602459.